

MICROWAVE ENGINEERING 3

TRISTRAM DE PIRO

ABSTRACT. We give an explanation of charge and current driven radiation inside spherical magnetrons, using the equations found in [10], and by verifying compatibility with the TM and TE modes used in microwave engineering.

Lemma 0.1. *There exist $(\rho, \bar{J}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})$ satisfying;*

(i). $\square^2(\rho) = 0.$

(ii). $\square^2(\bar{J}) = \bar{0}.$

(iii). $\nabla(\rho) + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \bar{J}}{\partial t} = \bar{0}.$

(iv). $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \bar{J} = 0.$

(v). $\square^2(\bar{E}) = \nabla \times \bar{E} = \bar{0}$

(vi). $\bar{B} = \bar{0}$

(vii). $\nabla \cdot \bar{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$

(viii). $\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \bar{E}}{\partial t} + \mu_0 \bar{J} = \bar{0}$

such that;

$$\rho(x, y, z, t) = p(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$$

$$\bar{J} = \bar{j}(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}, \bar{j} = (j_1, j_2, j_3).$$

$$\bar{E} = \bar{e}(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}, \bar{e} = (e_1, e_2, e_3).$$

$$\bar{B} = \bar{b}(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}, \bar{b} = (b_1, b_2, b_3).$$

In particular, Maxwell's equations are satisfied for $(\rho, \bar{J}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})$.

Let (V', \bar{A}') be the global potentials defined by Jefimenko's equations;

$$V'(\bar{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\bar{r}', t_r)}{r} d\tau'$$

$$\bar{A}'(\bar{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\bar{J}(\bar{r}', t_r)}{r} d\tau'$$

Then $V' = v'(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$, $\bar{A}' = \bar{a}'(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$, $\bar{a}' = (a'_1, a'_2, a'_3)$.

A similar claim holds for the causal fields $\{\bar{E}', \bar{B}'\}$ of Jefimenko's equations.

We have that;

$$p(x, y, z) = P(r, \theta, \phi) = R(r)\Theta(\theta)\Phi(\phi)$$

where;

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} (r^2 \frac{dR}{dr}) + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2})R = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sin(\theta)} \frac{d}{d\theta} (\sin(\theta) \frac{d\Theta}{d\theta}) + (l(l+1) - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2(\theta)})\Theta = 0$$

$$\frac{d^2\Phi}{d\phi^2} + m^2\Phi = 0 \quad (C)$$

for constants $\{m, l\} \subset \mathcal{N}$.

The components $\{j_r, j_\theta, j_\phi, e_r, e_\theta, e_\phi, b_r, b_\theta, b_\phi\}$ of $\{\bar{j}(r, \theta, \phi), \bar{e}(r, \theta, \phi), \bar{b}(r, \theta, \phi)\}$ can be written in terms of $\{R, R'\Theta, \Theta', \Phi, \Phi', r, \theta, \phi\}$.

There exist $(0, \bar{0}, \bar{E}', \bar{B}')$ satisfying Maxwell's equations in vacuum;

$$(i). \quad \nabla \cdot \bar{E}' = 0$$

$$(ii). \quad \nabla \times \bar{E}' = -\frac{\partial \bar{B}'}{\partial t}$$

$$(iii). \quad \nabla \cdot \bar{B}' = \bar{0}$$

$$(iv) \quad \nabla \times \bar{B}' = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \bar{E}'}{\partial t}$$

$$\bar{E}' = \bar{e}'(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}, \quad \bar{e}' = (e'_1, e'_2, e'_3).$$

$$\bar{B}' = \bar{b}'(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}, \quad \bar{b}' = (b'_1, b'_2, b'_3).$$

with $\bar{B}' \neq \bar{0}$

We have that $rb'_r e^{-i\omega t} = \langle \bar{B}', \bar{r} \rangle$ and $re'_r e^{-i\omega t} = \langle \bar{E}', \bar{r} \rangle$ satisfy the wave equation and;

$$rb'_r(x, y, z) = rb'_r(r, \theta, \phi) = R_1(r)\Theta_1(\theta)\Phi_1(\phi)$$

where;

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} (r^2 \frac{dR_1}{dr}) + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l'(l'+1)}{r^2}) R_1 = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sin(\theta)} \frac{d}{d\theta} (\sin(\theta) \frac{d\Theta}{d\theta}) + (l'(l'+1) - \frac{m'^2}{\sin^2(\theta)}) \Theta_1 = 0$$

$$\frac{d^2 \Phi_1}{d\phi^2} + m'^2 \Phi_1 = 0 \quad (C1)$$

for constants $\{m', l'\} \subset \mathcal{R}$.

A similar result holds for re'_r .

The components $\{e'_r, e'_\theta, e'_\phi, b'_r, b'_\theta, b'_\phi\}$ of $\{\bar{e}'(r, \theta, \phi), \bar{b}'(r, \theta, \phi)\}$ can be written in terms of $\{R, R', \Theta, \Theta', \Phi, \Phi', r, \theta, \phi\}$.

In particular, for the TE mode;

$$b'_r = \frac{rb'_r}{r}$$

$$b'_\theta = \frac{1}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_r)$$

$$b'_\phi = \frac{1}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_r)$$

$$e'_r = 0$$

$$e'_\theta = \frac{i\omega}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (r^2 b'_r)$$

$$e'_\phi = -\frac{i\omega}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r^2 b'_r) \quad (X)$$

and for the TM mode;

$$e'_r = \frac{re'_r}{r}$$

$$e'_\theta = \frac{1}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 e'_r)$$

$$e'_\phi = \frac{1}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 e'_r)$$

$$b'_r = 0$$

$$b'_\theta = -\frac{i\omega}{c^2 l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (r^2 e'_r)$$

$$b'_\phi = \frac{i\omega}{c^2 l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r^2 e'_r) \quad (Y)$$

The continuity equation holds on the sphere $S(\bar{0}, w)$, for both the TE and TM modes. Moreover, if we restrict to the cases where the current \bar{J} vanishes on the sphere $S(\bar{0}, w)$, the continuity equation holds and we can calculate the surface impedance in particular cases.

Proof. The proof of the first part is similar to [10]. For (i), we have, substituting $p(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ for ρ , that;

$$[p_{xx} + p_{yy} + p_{zz}]e^{-i\omega t} = \frac{1}{c^2} p(-\omega^2)e^{-i\omega t}$$

so we require that $p_{xx} + p_{yy} + p_{zz} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} p = 0$, (*).

The proof that this can be solved in \mathcal{R}^3 is shown in [5], using spherical polar coordinates. For (iii), we have, substituting $p(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ for ρ , and $\bar{j}(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ for \bar{J} , that;

$$(p_x, p_y, p_z)e^{-i\omega t} = -\frac{1}{c^2} (j_1, j_2, j_3)(-i\omega)e^{-i\omega t}$$

so that;

$$j_1 = \frac{c^2}{i\omega} p_x = -\frac{ic^2}{\omega} p_x$$

$$j_2 = \frac{c^2}{i\omega} p_y = -\frac{ic^2}{\omega} p_y$$

$$j_3 = \frac{c^2}{i\omega} p_z = -\frac{ic^2}{\omega} p_z \quad (**)$$

If p satisfies (*), differentiating, so do p_x , p_y and p_z , then, from (**), the components $\{j_1, j_2, j_3\}$ satisfy (*) and (ii) is satisfied. For (iv), we

have, substituting again, and using (**), that;

$$\begin{aligned} -i\omega p e^{-i\omega t} &= -(j_{1x} + j_{2x} + j_{3x}) e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= -\left(\frac{c^2}{i\omega} p_{xx} + \frac{c^2}{i\omega} p_{yy} + \frac{c^2}{i\omega} p_{zz}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

so that;

$$-\frac{c^2}{i\omega} p_{xx} - \frac{c^2}{i\omega} p_{yy} - \frac{c^2}{i\omega} p_{zz} + i\omega p = 0$$

and multiplying by $-\frac{i\omega}{c^2}$;

$$p_{xx} + p_{yy} + p_{zz} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} p = 0$$

which is (*). As all the steps are reversible, we obtain (iv). For (viii), we require that;

$$-\frac{i\omega}{c^2} \bar{e} e^{-i\omega t} = -\mu_0 \bar{j} e^{-i\omega t}$$

so that;

$$e_1 = -\frac{i\mu_0 c^2}{\omega} j_1$$

$$e_2 = -\frac{i\mu_0 c^2}{\omega} j_2$$

$$e_3 = -\frac{i\mu_0 c^2}{\omega} j_3$$

and, using (**)

$$e_1 = -\frac{i\mu_0 c^2}{\omega} \frac{-ic^2}{\omega} p_x = -\frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} p_x$$

$$e_2 = -\frac{i\mu_0 c^2}{\omega} \frac{-ic^2}{\omega} p_y = -\frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} p_y$$

$$e_3 = -\frac{i\mu_0 c^2}{\omega} \frac{-ic^2}{\omega} p_z = -\frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} p_z \quad (A)$$

For (vi), we just set $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 0$. For (v), we have from (A), that $\bar{E} = -\frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} \nabla(\rho)$, so that $\nabla \times \bar{E} = \bar{0}$ and as $\{p_x, p_y, p_z\}$ satisfy (*), so do $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, so that $\square^2 \bar{E} = \bar{0}$, and (v) is satisfied. For (vii), we have, using (A) and (*), that;

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}(\overline{E}) &= (e_{1x} + e_{2y} + e_{3z})e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= -\frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} (p_{xx} + p_{yy} + p_{zz})e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= -\frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} \frac{-\omega^2}{c^2} p e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= \mu_0 c^2 p e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= \frac{1}{\epsilon_0 c^2} c^2 p e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}
\end{aligned}$$

so that (vii) is satisfied. The second claim follows easily by rearranging (v) – (viii).

For the potentials claim, it follows by differentiating under the integral sign, and using the fact that $t_r = t - \frac{|\overline{r}' - \overline{r}|}{c}$, that;

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial V'}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\dot{\rho}(\overline{r}', t_r)}{r} d\tau' \\
&= -\frac{i\omega}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\overline{r}', t_r)}{r} d\tau' \\
&= -i\omega V'
\end{aligned}$$

Using Peano's theorem on the uniqueness of solutions of first order differential equations, we then must have that;

$$V'(x, y, z, t) = v'(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t} \quad (AA)$$

and, similarly;

$$\overline{A}'(x, y, z, t) = \overline{a}'(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$$

The claim on $\{\overline{E}', \overline{B}'\}$ is similar, using Jefimenko's equations which only depend on $\{\rho, \overline{J}\}$ and derivatives.

The formulae (C) can be found in [5], once we have (*). When $R = j_l(\frac{\omega r}{c})$, where j_l is a Bessel function of the first kind of order l , $\Theta = P_l^m(\cos(\theta))$ where P_l^m is the associated Legendre polynomial, and

$\Phi = \sin(m\phi)$ or $\cos(m\phi)$, we denote by $p_{m,l,s}$ or $p_{m,l,c}$ the corresponding fundamental solutions, see the discussion in [5].

Let $\{\hat{r}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi}\}$ be the standard orthonormal spherical frame, then we have that, using the above calculation;

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{J}, \hat{r} \rangle &= \langle \bar{j}, \hat{r} \rangle e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= \frac{-ic^2}{\omega} \langle \nabla(p), \hat{r} \rangle e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= \frac{-ic^2}{\omega} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} [\hat{r} \cdot \hat{r}] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{r} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{r} \right] \right) e^{-i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

so that;

$$\begin{aligned} j_r &= \frac{-ic^2}{\omega} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} [\hat{r} \cdot \hat{r}] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{r} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{r} \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{-ic^2}{\omega} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{r} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{r} \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly;

$$\begin{aligned} j_\theta &= \frac{-ic^2}{\omega} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} [\hat{r} \cdot \hat{\theta}] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\theta} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\theta} \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{-ic^2}{\omega} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\theta} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\theta} \right] \right) \\ j_\phi &= \frac{-ic^2}{\omega} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} [\hat{r} \cdot \hat{\phi}] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\phi} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\phi} \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{-ic^2}{\omega} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\phi} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\phi} \right] \right) (F) \end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation shows that;

$$\begin{aligned} e_r &= -\frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} [\hat{r} \cdot \hat{r}] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{r} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{r} \right] \right) \\ &= -\frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{r} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{r} \right] \right) \\ e_\theta &= -\frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} [\hat{r} \cdot \hat{\theta}] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\theta} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\theta} \right] \right) \\ &= -\frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\theta} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\theta} \right] \right) \\ e_\phi &= -\frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} [\hat{r} \cdot \hat{\phi}] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\phi} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\phi} \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\phi} \right] + \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \hat{\phi} \right] \right) \quad (E)$$

Clearly, we have that $b_r = b_\theta = b_\phi = 0$.

The next claim is then clear, calculating $\left\{ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right\}$ and the orthonormal frame in terms of $\{r, \theta, \phi\}$, as well as the terms $\left\{ \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \right\}$ in terms of $\{R, R', \Theta, \Theta', \Phi, \Phi'\}$.

For the boundary conditions at the boundary of the cavity magnetron with radius we need $\{e_\theta, e_\phi, b_r\}$ to vanish at the boundary, which we can achieve with $\frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} = 0$, as $b_r = 0$. By the explicit form of p in (C), and the calculations in (E), if the magnetron has radius w , this is achieved when $R = j_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)|_{\delta S(\bar{0}, w)} = 0$, so that $\frac{\omega w}{c} \in Z_l$, $\omega \in \frac{c Z_l}{w}$, where $Z_l = \text{Zero}(j_l)$, the zero set of the corresponding Bessel function. In this case, we also have by (E), (F), that $j_\theta = j_\phi = 0$ at the boundary, and;

$$e_r = -\frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \Big|_{S(\bar{0}, w)}$$

$$j_r = \frac{-ic^2}{\omega} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \Big|_{S(\bar{0}, w)}$$

where p is constant on the boundary, as $\frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \psi} = 0$.

The next claim is a special case of the result proved in [10] and left to the reader.

For the next claim, $rb'_r e^{-i\omega t} = \langle \bar{B}', \bar{r} \rangle$ satisfies the wave equation, as;

$$\begin{aligned} & \square^2(\langle \bar{B}', \bar{r} \rangle) \\ &= \langle \square^2 \bar{B}', \bar{r} \rangle + \langle \bar{B}', \square^2 \bar{r} \rangle + \nabla \cdot \bar{B}' \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The equations for the components in the TE and TM modes can be found in [5], and we assume they hold on the exterior of the sphere $S(\bar{0}, w)$. For the boundary conditions at the boundary of the cavity magnetron, we need $\{e_\theta, e_\phi, b_r\}$ to vanish at the boundary again. In the TE mode case, from (X), we can achieve this with $\frac{\partial r^2 b_r}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial r^2 b_r}{\partial \phi} = 0$, and $r^2 b_r = 0$ at the boundary. By the explicit form of

rb_r in (C1), if the magnetron has radius w , this is again achieved when $R = j_{l'}(\frac{\omega r}{c})|_{\delta S(\bar{0},w)} = 0$, so that $\frac{\omega w}{c} \in Z_{l'}$, $\omega \in \frac{cZ_{l'}}{w}$, where $Z_{l'} = \text{Zero}(j_{l'})$, the zero set of the corresponding Bessel function. In the TM mode case, from (Y), we can achieve this with $\frac{\partial r^2 e_r}{\partial r} = 0$, as $b_r = 0$ in the TM mode. By the explicit form of re_r in (C1), if the magnetron has radius w , this is achieved when $\frac{\partial r R}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial r j_{l'}(\frac{\omega r}{c})}{\partial r}|_{\delta S(\bar{0},w)} = 0$.

In the TE case, we have that the surface charge σ_f is given by;

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_f}{\epsilon_0} &= \overline{E}'^{\perp} - \overline{E}^{\perp} \\ &= e'_r e^{-i\omega t} - e_r e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= -e_r e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= \frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}|_{S(\bar{0},w)} e^{-i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

while in the TM case, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_f}{\epsilon_0} &= \overline{E}'^{\perp} - \overline{E}^{\perp} \\ &= e'_r e^{-i\omega t} - e_r e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= e'_r e^{-i\omega t} + \frac{\mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}|_{S(\bar{0},w)} e^{-i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

where re'_r satisfies the relations above.

In the TE case, we have that the surface current \overline{K}_f is given by;

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0(\overline{K}_f \times \hat{r}) &= \overline{B}'^{\parallel} - \overline{B}^{\parallel} \\ &= \overline{B}'^{\parallel} \\ &= (b'_\theta \hat{\theta} + b'_\phi \hat{\phi}) e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_r) \right) \hat{\theta} + \frac{1}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_r) \hat{\phi} e^{-i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

where rb'_r satisfies the relations above. It follows that;

$$\mu_0 \overline{K}_f = \left(\frac{1}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_r) \right) \hat{\phi} - \frac{1}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_r) \hat{\theta} e^{-i\omega t}$$

In the TM case, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0(\overline{K}_f \times \hat{r}) &= \overline{B}'^{\parallel} - \overline{B}^{\parallel} \\ &= \overline{B}'^{\parallel} \\ &= \left(-\frac{i\omega}{c^2 l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (r^2 e'_r) \hat{\theta} + \frac{i\omega}{c^2 l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r^2 e'_r) \hat{\phi}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

where re'_r satisfies the relations above. It follows that;

$$\mu_0 \overline{K}_f = \left(-\frac{i\omega}{c^2 l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (r^2 e'_r) \hat{\phi} - \frac{i\omega}{c^2 l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r^2 e'_r) \hat{\theta}\right) e^{-i\omega t}$$

In the TE case, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{S(\bar{0}, w)} \cdot \mu_0 \overline{K}_f &= \left(\frac{1}{w \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \frac{1}{w \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin(\theta)\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_r), -\frac{1}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_r)\right) e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{w^2 \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_r) - \frac{1}{l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{w^2 \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_r)\right) e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

In the TM case, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{S(\bar{0}, w)} \cdot \mu_0 \overline{K}_f &= \left(\frac{1}{w \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \frac{1}{w \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin(\theta)\right) \cdot \left(-\frac{i\omega}{c^2 l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (r^2 e'_r), -\frac{i\omega}{c^2 l'(l'+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r^2 e'_r)\right) e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= -\frac{i\omega}{c^2 l'(l'+1)} \left(\frac{1}{w^2 \sin^2(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} (r^2 e'_r) + \frac{1}{w^2 \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r^2 e'_r))\right) e^{-i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

In the TE and TM cases, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{J}' - \overline{J}) \cdot \hat{n} &= -\overline{J} \cdot \hat{n} \\ &= -j_r e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= \frac{ic^2}{\omega} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \Big|_{S(\bar{0}, w)} e^{-i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

In the TE case, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \sigma_f}{\partial t} \\ &= -i\omega \frac{\epsilon_0 \mu_0 c^4}{\omega^2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \Big|_{S(\bar{0}, w)} e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= -\frac{ic^2}{\omega} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \Big|_{S(\bar{0}, w)} e^{-i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

while in the TM case, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \sigma_f}{\partial t} \\ &= (-i\omega \epsilon_0 e'_r - \frac{ic^2}{\omega} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \Big|_{S(\bar{0}, w)}) e^{-i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that in the TE case;

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_{S(\bar{0}, w)} \cdot \bar{K}_f + (\bar{J}' - \bar{J}) \cdot \hat{n} \\ &= 0 + \frac{ic^2}{\omega} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \Big|_{S(\bar{0}, w)} e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= -\frac{\partial \sigma_f}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

so the continuity equation holds on the boundary. In the TM case, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_{S(\bar{0}, w)} \cdot \bar{K}_f + (\bar{J}' - \bar{J}) \cdot \hat{n} + \frac{\partial \sigma_f}{\partial t} \\ &= -\frac{i\omega}{\mu_0 c^2 l'(l'+1)} \left(\frac{1}{w^2 \sin^2(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} (r^2 e'_r) + \frac{1}{w^2 \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r^2 e'_r)) \right) e^{-i\omega t} + \\ & \frac{ic^2}{\omega} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \Big|_{S(\bar{0}, w)} e^{-i\omega t} \\ &+ (-i\omega \epsilon_0 e'_r - \frac{ic^2}{\omega} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \Big|_{S(\bar{0}, w)}) e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= -\frac{i\omega}{\mu_0 c^2 l'(l'+1)} \left(\frac{1}{w^2 \sin^2(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} (r^2 e'_r) + \frac{1}{w^2 \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r^2 e'_r)) \right) e^{-i\omega t} \\ &- i\omega \epsilon_0 e'_r e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= -\frac{i\omega \epsilon_0}{l'(l'+1)} \left(\frac{1}{w^2 \sin^2(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} (r^2 e'_r) + \frac{1}{w^2 \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r^2 e'_r)) \right) e^{-i\omega t} \\ &- \frac{i\omega \epsilon_0}{l'(l'+1)} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 \frac{\partial (r e'_r)}{\partial r}) + \frac{\omega^2 r^2}{c^2} e'_r \right) e^{-i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0$$

as;

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 \frac{\partial (re'_r)}{\partial r}) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} (re'_r) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (re'_r)) + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} re'_r = 0$$

and we can multiply by r .

We follow the notation in [11], and denote by;

$$\bar{J}_{l_0, k_0} = \sum_{-l_0 \leq m \leq l_0} \bar{U}(l_0, m, k_0) \gamma_{l_0, m, k_0} e^{-ik_0 ct}$$

for $l_0 = 1$, where;

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U}(l_0, m_0, k_0) &= \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{i^{l_0} k_0^2}{4\pi} \bar{W}(l_0, m)^* \\ &= i \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{k_0^2}{4\pi} \bar{W}(1, m)^* \end{aligned}$$

and $k_0 \in \frac{S_{l_0}}{w}$, for the zero set of j_{l_0} . Then \bar{J} vanishes on the sphere $S(\bar{0}, w)$ and satisfies the radial transform condition, so we can find ρ_{l_0, k_0} such that $(\rho_{l_0, k_0}, \bar{J}_{l_0, k_0})$ satisfy (i) – (iv). To calculate ρ_{l_0, k_0} , we have that;

$$\rho_{l_0, k_0}(\bar{x}, t) = \int_{S(\bar{0}, k_0)} f(\bar{k}) e^{i(\bar{k} \cdot \bar{x} - k_0 ct)} dS(\bar{0}, k_0)$$

$$\text{where } f(\bar{k}) = \frac{(\bar{k}, F(\bar{k}))}{c|\bar{k}|} = \frac{|\bar{k}|}{c}$$

so that, using the calculation in [12] or [4];

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{l_0, k_0}(\bar{x}, t) &= \frac{k_0}{c} e^{-ik_0 ct} \int_{S(\bar{0}, k_0)} e^{i\bar{k} \cdot \bar{x}} dS(\bar{0}, k_0)(\bar{k}) \\ &= \frac{k_0^3}{c} e^{-ik_0 ct} \int_{S(\bar{0}, 1)} e^{i(\bar{l}, k_0 \bar{x})} dS(\bar{0}, 1)(\bar{l}) \\ &= \frac{k_0^3}{c} e^{-ik_0 ct} \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|k_0 \bar{x}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} J_{\frac{1}{2}}(|k_0 \bar{x}|) \\ &= \frac{4k_0^3 \pi}{c} j_0(k_0 |\bar{x}|) \\ &= \frac{k_0^3}{c} e^{-ik_0 ct} 4\pi \frac{\sin(|k_0 \bar{x}|)}{|k_0 \bar{x}|} \\ &= \frac{4\pi k_0^3}{c} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \frac{\sin(|k_0 \bar{x}|)}{|k_0 \bar{x}|} (PP) \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_0 = k_0 c$

We can complete $(\rho_{k_0, l_0}, \bar{J}_{k_0, l_0})$ to a tuple $(\rho_{k_0, l_0}, \bar{J}_{k_0, l_0}, \bar{E}_{k_0, l_0}, \bar{B}_{k_0, l_0})$ satisfying (i) – (viii) as follows. For (viii), we let $\bar{E}_{k_0, l_0} = e_{k_0, l_0} e^{-i\omega_0 t}$ so that;

$$-i\omega_0 e_{k_0, l_0} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \dot{j}_{k_0, l_0}$$

$$e_{k_0, l_0} = -\frac{i}{\epsilon_0 \omega_0} \dot{j}_{k_0, l_0}$$

$$\bar{E}_{k_0, l_0} = -\frac{i}{\epsilon_0 \omega_0} \bar{J}_{k_0, l_0}. \text{ Then, as;}$$

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \bar{J}_{k_0, l_0}}{\partial t} = -\frac{i\omega_0}{c^2} \bar{J}_{k_0, l_0} = -\nabla(\rho_{k_0, l_0})$$

$$\text{we have that } \nabla \times \bar{E}_{k_0, l_0} = \nabla \times \bar{J}_{k_0, l_0} = \nabla \times \nabla(\rho_{k_0, l_0}) = \bar{0}$$

and, as $\square^2 \bar{J}_{k_0, l_0} = \bar{0}$, $\square^2 \bar{E}_{k_0, l_0} = \bar{0}$, so that (v) holds.

We have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \bar{E}_{k_0, l_0} &= \nabla \cdot -\frac{i}{\epsilon_0 \omega_0} \bar{J}_{k_0, l_0} \\ &= \frac{i}{\epsilon_0 \omega_0} \frac{\partial \rho_{k_0, l_0}}{\partial t} \\ &= \frac{i}{\epsilon_0 \omega_0} (-i\omega_0) \rho_{k_0, l_0} \\ &= \frac{\rho_{k_0, l_0}}{\epsilon_0} \end{aligned}$$

so that (vii) is satisfied. Setting $\bar{B} = \bar{0}$, we obtain (vi). Observe that by the calculation (PP), ρ_{k_0, l_0} is a scalar multiple of the form considered before the introduction of \bar{J} vanishing at the boundary with the Bessel function defined by $l = 0$ and with $m = 0$. As the set of relations (i) – (iv) hold for both \bar{J}_{k_0, l_0} and \bar{J} , where \bar{J} is defined from ρ_{k_0, l_0} using (***) at the beginning of the paper, we must have that;

$$\frac{\partial \bar{J}_{k_0, l_0} - \bar{J}}{\partial t} = \bar{0}$$

$$\square^2 (\bar{J}_{k_0, l_0} - \bar{J}) = \bar{0}$$

so that;

$$\nabla^2(\bar{J}_{k_0, l_0} - \bar{J}) = \bar{0}$$

and;

$\bar{J}_{k_0, l_0} = \bar{J} + \bar{c}(t)$, by boundedness and the fact that the difference is harmonic at a given time t . Using the relation (iv) again, we must have that $\bar{c}'(t) = \bar{0}$, so that $\bar{c}(t) = \bar{c}$ is time independent. By the fact that the difference $\bar{J}_{k_0, l_0} - \bar{J}$ is of the form $\bar{j}(x, y, z)e^{-ik_0 ct}$, we must have that $\bar{c} = \bar{0}$ so that $\bar{J}_{k_0, l_0} = \bar{J}$. We can then use the calculation above to verify the continuity equation at the boundary.

By construction $\bar{E}_{k_0, l_0}|_{S(\bar{0}, w)} = \bar{0}$, in particular, the components $\{e_{k_0, l_0, \theta}, e_{k_0, l_0, \phi}\}$ vanish at the boundary of the magnetron, so that $\bar{E}_{k_0, l_0}^{\parallel} = \bar{0}$ and clearly $\bar{B}_{k_0, l_0}^{\perp} = 0$ as well. As above, in the TE mode case, from (X), we can achieve compatibility of the boundary condition with $\frac{\partial r^2 b_r}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial r^2 b_r}{\partial \phi} = 0$, and $r^2 b_r = 0$ at the boundary. By the explicit form of rb_r in (C1), if the magnetron has radius w , we achieve this when $R = j_{l_0}(\frac{\omega_0 r}{c})|_{\delta S(\bar{0}, w)} = 0$, we consider the simplest solution $p_{l_0, m_0, c}$, with $l_0 = 1$, $m_0 = 0$. In the TM mode case, from (Y), we can achieve this with $\frac{\partial r^2 e_r}{\partial r} = 0$, as $b_r = 0$ in the TM mode. By the explicit form of re_r in (C1), if the magnetron has radius w , this is achieved when $\frac{\partial r R}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial r j_{l_0}'(\frac{\omega_0 r}{c})}{\partial r}|_{\delta S(\bar{0}, w)} = 0$. Note that we can achieve this condition with a single Bessel function by Rolle's theorem and the fact that the Bessel functions j_l have infinitely many zeros for $l \geq 0$. We cannot, however achieve this condition with j_{l_0}' , for $l_0 = l_0'$ unless $\omega = 0$, as all the non-zero roots are simple.

In the TE case, we have that the surface charge $\frac{\sigma_{k_0, l_0}}{\epsilon_0}$ is given by;

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{E}_{k_0, l_0}'^{\perp} - \bar{E}_{k_0, l_0}^{\perp} \\ &= \bar{E}_{k_0, l_0}'^{\perp} \\ &= e'_{k_0, l_0, r} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by definition of the TE mode and the fact that $\overline{E} = \overline{0}$ at the boundary $S(\overline{0}, w)$.

In the TM case, we have that the surface charge $\frac{\sigma_{k_0, l_0, f}}{\epsilon_0}$ is given by;

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{E}'_{k_0, l_0}{}^\perp - \overline{E}_{k_0, l_0}{}^\perp \\ &= \overline{E}'_{k_0, l_0}{}^\perp \\ &= e'_{k_0, l_0, r} e^{-i\omega'_0 t} \end{aligned}$$

where $r e'_{k_0, l_0, r}$ satisfies the usual relations with $R = j\mu'_0 \left(\frac{\omega'_0 r}{c}\right)$.

In the TE case, we have that the surface current $\overline{K}_{k_0, l_0, f}$ is given by;

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0(\overline{K}_{k_0, l_0, f} \times \hat{r}) &= \overline{B}'_{k_0, l_0}{}^\parallel - \overline{B}_{k_0, l_0}{}^\parallel \\ &= \overline{B}'_{k_0, l_0}{}^\parallel \\ &= (b'_{k_0, l_0, \theta} \hat{\theta} + b'_{k_0, l_0, \phi} \hat{\phi}) e^{-i\omega_0 t} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{l_0(l_0+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_{k_0, l_0, r}) \right) \hat{\theta} + \frac{1}{l_0(l_0+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_{k_0, l_0, r}) \hat{\phi} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \end{aligned}$$

where $r b'_{k_0, l_0, r}$ satisfies the relations above. It follows that;

$$\mu_0 \overline{K}_{k_0, l_0, f} = \left(\frac{1}{l_0(l_0+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_{k_0, l_0, r}) \right) \hat{\phi} - \frac{1}{l_0(l_0+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 b'_{k_0, l_0, r}) \hat{\theta} e^{-i\omega_0 t}$$

In the TM case, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0(\overline{K}_{k_0, l_0, f} \times \hat{r}) &= \overline{B}'_{k_0, l_0}{}^\parallel - \overline{B}_{k_0, l_0}{}^\parallel \\ &= \overline{B}'_{k_0, l_0}{}^\parallel \\ &= \left(-\frac{i\omega'_0}{c^2 l'_0 (l'_0+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (r^2 e'_{k_0, l_0, r}) \right) \hat{\theta} + \frac{i\omega'_0}{c^2 l'_0 (l'_0+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r^2 e'_r) \hat{\phi} e^{-i\omega'_0 t} \end{aligned}$$

where $r e'_{k_0, l_0, r}$ satisfies the relations above. It follows that;

$$\mu_0 \overline{K}_{k_0, l_0, f} = \left(-\frac{i\omega'_0}{c^2 l'_0 (l'_0+1)} \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (r^2 e'_{k_0, l_0, r}) \right) \hat{\phi} - \frac{i\omega'_0}{c^2 l'_0 (l'_0+1)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (r^2 e'_{k_0, l_0, r}) \hat{\theta} e^{-i\omega'_0 t}$$

It follows that in the TE case, if we fix a circle S_{θ_0} on the sphere given by $\theta = \theta_0$, we have that the current along S_{θ_0} in the direction of

$\hat{\phi}$ is given by, when $m_0 = 0$, $l_0 = 1$;

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_0 \bar{K}_{k_0, l_0, f} |_{S_{\theta_0}} &= \frac{1}{2w} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial r} (r R_{k_0, l_0}(r) \Theta_{k_0, l_0}(\theta) \Phi_{k_0, l_0}(\phi)) |_{w, \theta_0, \phi} \hat{\phi} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \\
&= \frac{1}{2w} (R_{k_0, l_0}(r) \Theta'_{k_0, l_0}(\theta) \Phi_{k_0, l_0}(\phi) + R'_{k_0, l_0}(r) \Theta_{k_0, l_0}(\theta) \Phi_{k_0, l_0}(\phi)) |_{w, \theta_0, \phi} \hat{\phi} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \\
&= \frac{1}{2w} (R'_{k_0, l_0}(r) \Theta'_{k_0, l_0}(\theta) \Phi_{k_0, l_0}(\phi)) |_{w, \theta_0, \phi} \hat{\phi} e^{-i\omega_0 t}, \quad (R_{k_0, l_0}(w) = 0) \\
&= \frac{1}{2w} j_1' \left(\frac{\omega_0 r}{c} \right) (P_1^0(\cos(\theta)))' |_{w, \theta_0, \phi} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \hat{\phi} \\
&= \frac{1}{2w} j_1' \left(\frac{\omega_0 r}{c} \right) (\cos(\theta))' |_{w, \theta_0, \phi} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \hat{\phi} \\
&= -\frac{\sin(\theta_0) \omega_0}{2wc} j_1' \left(\frac{\omega_0 w}{c} \right) e^{-i\omega_0 t} \hat{\phi}
\end{aligned}$$

which is alternating current of amplitude $\frac{\sin(\theta_0) \omega_0}{2wc} j_1' \left(\frac{\omega_0 w}{c} \right)$ and frequency $\frac{\omega_0}{2\pi}$.

By the above, we have that the surface charge in the TE mode is zero, so the potential due to the surface charge on the sphere $S(\bar{0}, k_0)$ is also zero, by Jefimenko's equations. As $\rho = 0$ outside the magnetron, again by Jefimenko's equations, the causal potential on the sphere $S(\bar{0}, w)$, due to the TE mode, is again zero. The potential due to the charge inside the magnetron is found using the method of [10]. We have that, using the calculation above;

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{k_0, l_0}(\bar{x}, t) &= \frac{c^2 \epsilon_0 \rho_{k_0, l_0}(\bar{x}, t)}{\omega^2} \\
&= \frac{4\pi k_0^3 c^2 \epsilon_0}{c \omega_0^2} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \frac{\sin(|k_0 \bar{x}|)}{|k_0 \bar{x}|} \\
&= \frac{4\pi k_0^3 c \epsilon_0}{\omega_0^2} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \frac{\sin(|k_0 \bar{x}|)}{|k_0 \bar{x}|}
\end{aligned}$$

so the surface $S(\bar{0}, w)$ is an equipotential $\frac{4\pi k_0^3 c \epsilon_0}{\omega_0^2} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \frac{\sin(k_0 w)}{k_0 w}$

In particular, if we ground $\phi = 0$ and take real parts, the impedance Z_{θ_0} along S_{θ_0} is given by;

$$Z_{\theta_0} = \frac{\frac{4\pi k_0^3 c \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{\omega_0^2} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \frac{\sin(k_0 w)}{k_0 w}}{\frac{\sin(\theta_0) \omega_0}{2wc} j_1' \left(\frac{\omega_0 w}{c} \right) e^{-i\omega_0 t}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\frac{4\pi k_0^3}{c\omega_0^2} \frac{\sin(k_0 w)}{k_0 w}}{-\frac{\sin(\theta_0)\omega_0}{2wc} j_1'(\frac{\omega_0 w}{c})} \\
&= \frac{8\pi}{c^2\omega_0} \frac{\sin(k_0 w)}{\sin(\theta_0)j_1'(\frac{\omega_0 w}{c})}
\end{aligned}$$

The cases when $l_0 \neq 1$ mean changing the frequency ω_0 to a new ω'_0 , but the cases can be computed using the formula for the derivative of an associated Legendre polynomial, when $-l_0 \leq m_0 \leq l_0$, $l_0 \geq 1$, see [14], with the convention that $P_l^m = 0$ for $|m| > l$. The quoted formula assumes the Condon-Shortley phase factor $(-1)^{m_0}$ which is not used here, but the formula is not effected;

$$(x^2 - 1) \frac{d}{dx} (P_{l_0}^{m_0}(x)) = l_0 x P_{l_0}^{m_0}(x) - (l_0 + m_0) P_{l_0-1}^{m_0}(x)$$

which gives that;

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{l_0}^{m_0}(\cos(\theta))' &= \frac{\sin(\theta)}{\sin^2(\theta)} (l_0 \cos(\theta) P_{l_0}^{m_0}(\cos(\theta)) - (l_0 + m_0) P_{l_0-1}^{m_0}(\cos(\theta))) \\
&= l_0 \cot(\theta) P_{l_0}^{m_0}(\cos(\theta)) - (l_0 + m_0) \operatorname{cosec}(\theta) P_{l_0-1}^{m_0}(\cos(\theta))
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that in the TE case, if we fix a circle S_{θ_0} on the sphere given by $\theta = \theta_0$, we have that the current along S_{θ_0} in the direction of $\hat{\phi}$ is given in general for the basic solutions $p_{l'_0, m'_0, c}$, for $l'_0 \geq 2$, $-l'_0 \leq m'_0 \leq l'_0$ by;

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_0 \bar{K}_{k_0, l_0, f} |_{S_{\theta_0}} &= \frac{1}{l'_0(l'_0+1)w} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial r} (r R_{k_0, l_0}(r) \Theta_{k_0, l_0}(\theta) \Phi_{k_0, l_0}(\phi)) |_{w, \theta_0, \phi} \hat{\phi} e^{-i\omega'_0 t} \\
&= \frac{1}{l'_0(l'_0+1)w} (R_{k_0, l_0}(r) \Theta'_{k_0, l_0}(\theta) \Phi_{k_0, l_0}(\phi) + R'_{k_0, l_0}(r) \Theta_{k_0, l_0}(\theta) \Phi_{k_0, l_0}(\phi)) |_{w, \theta_0, \phi} \hat{\phi} e^{-i\omega'_0 t} \\
&= \frac{1}{l'_0(l'_0+1)w} (R'_{k_0, l_0}(r) \Theta'_{k_0, l_0}(\theta) \Phi_{k_0, l_0}(\phi)) |_{w, \theta_0, \phi} \hat{\phi} e^{-i\omega'_0 t} \\
&= \frac{1}{l'_0(l'_0+1)w} j_{l'_0}'(\frac{\omega'_0 r}{c}) (P_{l_0}^{m_0}(\cos(\theta_0)))' \cos(m_0 \phi) |_{w, \theta_0, \phi} e^{-i\omega'_0 t} \hat{\phi} \\
&= \frac{1}{l'_0(l'_0+1)w} j_{l'_0}'(\frac{\omega'_0 r}{c}) \cos(m'_0 \phi) (l'_0 \cot(\theta_0) P_{l'_0}^{m'_0}(\cos(\theta_0)) - (l'_0 + m'_0) \operatorname{cosec}(\theta_0) P_{l'_0-1}^{m'_0}(\cos(\theta_0))) e^{-i\omega'_0 t} \hat{\phi} \\
&= j_{l'_0}'(\frac{\omega'_0 r}{c}) e^{-i\omega'_0 t} \cos(m'_0 \phi) \hat{\phi} (\frac{1}{(l'_0+1)w} \cot(\theta_0) P_{l'_0}^{m'_0}(\cos(\theta_0)) - \frac{(l'_0+m'_0)}{l'_0(l'_0+1)w} \operatorname{cosec}(\theta_0) P_{l'_0-1}^{m'_0}(\cos(\theta_0)))
\end{aligned}$$

We leave it as an exercise to compute the impedance following the method below.

..... Similarly, in the TM case, if we fix the circle S_{θ_0} on the sphere

given by $\theta = \theta_0$, we have that the current $\mu_0 I_{\theta_0}$ along S_{θ_0} in the direction of $\hat{\phi}$ is given by;

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{i\omega'_0}{c^2 l'_0 (l'_0 + 1)} \frac{w}{w \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (R_{k_0, l_0}(r) \Theta_{k_0, l_0}(\theta) \Phi_{k_0, l_0}(\phi))|_{w, \theta_0, \hat{\phi}} e^{-i\omega'_0 t} \\ & = -\frac{i\omega'_0}{c^2 l'_0 (l'_0 + 1)} \frac{1}{\sin(\theta)} (R_{k_0, l_0}(r) \Theta_{k_0, l_0}(\theta) \Phi'_{k_0, l_0}(\phi))|_{w, \theta_0, \hat{\phi}} e^{-i\omega'_0 t} \end{aligned}$$

We consider the case $l'_0 \neq 1$, $-l'_0 \leq m'_0 \leq l'_0$ remembering that we require $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r j'_{l'_0}(\frac{\omega'_0 r}{c}))|_{\delta S(\bar{0}, w)} = 0$, which we cannot achieve with $l'_0 = 1$. We consider the basic solutions $p_{l'_0, m'_0, c}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 I_{\theta_0} & = -\frac{i\omega'_0}{l'_0 (l'_0 + 1) c^2} \frac{1}{\sin(\theta_0)} j'_{l'_0}(\frac{\omega'_0 w}{c}) ((P_{l'_0}^{m'_0})(\cos(\theta_0))) \cos(m'_0 \phi) \hat{\phi} e^{-i\omega'_0 t} \\ & = \frac{i m'_0 \omega'_0}{l'_0 (l'_0 + 1) c^2} \frac{1}{\sin(\theta_0)} j'_{l'_0}(\frac{\omega'_0 w}{c}) ((P_{l'_0}^{m'_0})(\cos(\theta_0))) \sin(m'_0 \phi) \hat{\phi} e^{-i\omega'_0 t} \end{aligned}$$

As $\rho = 0$ outside the magnetron, again by Jefimenko's equations, the causal potential on the sphere $S(\bar{0}, w)$, due to the TM mode, is again zero. We can ignore the potential due to the surface charge in the TM mode, by Jefimenko's equations. As before, $S(\bar{0}, w)$ is an equipotential;

$$V_{k_0, l_0} = \frac{4\pi k_0^3 c \epsilon_0}{\omega_0^2} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \frac{\sin(k_0 w)}{k_0 w}$$

due to the configuration inside the magnetron. We consider the $2m'_0$ points $\phi \in \{\frac{k\pi}{m'_0} : -m'_0 \leq k \leq m'_0 - 1\}$ on the circle defined by $\theta = \theta_0$. Then the average current between the points $\phi = \frac{j\pi}{m'_0}, \phi = \frac{(j+1)\pi}{m'_0}$, $-m'_0 \leq j \leq m'_0 - 1 \pmod{m'_0}$ is;

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{m'_0}{\mu_0 \pi} \int_{\frac{j\pi}{m'_0}}^{\frac{(j+1)\pi}{m'_0}} \frac{i m'_0 \omega'_0}{l'_0 (l'_0 + 1) c^2} j'_{l'_0}(\frac{\omega'_0 w}{c}) ((P_{l'_0}^{m'_0})(\cos(\theta_0))) \sin(m'_0 \phi) \hat{\phi} e^{-i\omega'_0 t} d\phi \\ & = \frac{m'_0}{\mu_0 \pi} \frac{i m'_0 \omega'_0}{l'_0 (l'_0 + 1) c^2} j'_{l'_0}(\frac{\omega'_0 w}{c}) e^{-i\omega'_0 t} ((P_{l'_0}^{m'_0})(\cos(\theta_0))) \hat{\phi} \int_{\frac{j\pi}{m'_0}}^{\frac{(j+1)\pi}{m'_0}} \sin(m'_0 \phi) d\phi \\ & = \frac{2(-1)^j m'^2_0}{\mu_0 \pi} \frac{i\omega'_0}{l'_0 (l'_0 + 1) c^2} j'_{l'_0}(\frac{\omega'_0 w}{c}) e^{-i\omega'_0 t} ((P_{l'_0}^{m'_0})(\cos(\theta_0))) \hat{\phi} \end{aligned}$$

whereas if we ground the m_0 points corresponding to $\phi \in \{\frac{(2s-m_0)\pi}{m_0} : 0 \leq s \leq m_0 - 1\}$, the potential difference across the $2m_0$ regions is $\frac{4\pi k_0^3 c \epsilon_0}{\omega_0^2} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \frac{\sin(k_0 w)}{k_0 w}$.

Taking real parts, we have that the average current is given by;

$$\frac{2(-1)^j m_0'^2}{\mu_0 \pi} \frac{\omega_0'}{l_0'(l_0'+1)c^2} j_{l_0}'\left(\frac{\omega_0' w}{c}\right) \sin(\omega_0' t) \left((P_{l_0}'^{m_0}')(\cos(\theta_0)) \right)$$

whereas the potential is;

$$\frac{4\pi k_0^3 c \epsilon_0}{\omega_0^2} \frac{\sin(k_0 w)}{k_0 w} \cos(\omega_0 t)$$

We have that;

$$\cos(\omega_0' t) - \cos(\omega_0 t) = -2 \sin\left(\frac{(\omega_0 + \omega_0')}{2} t\right) \sin\left(\frac{(\omega_0 - \omega_0')}{2} t\right)$$

so that if we apply a voltage;

$$V'(t) = -\frac{8\pi k_0^3 c \epsilon_0}{\omega_0^2} \frac{\sin(k_0 w)}{k_0 w} \sin\left(\frac{(\omega_0 + \omega_0')}{2} t\right) \sin\left(\frac{(\omega_0 - \omega_0')}{2} t\right)$$

to the sphere boundary, the total sphere potential is;

$$\frac{4\pi k_0^3 c \epsilon_0}{\omega_0^2} \frac{\sin(k_0 w)}{k_0 w} \cos(\omega_0' t)$$

and the impedance in the $2m_0$ regions is;

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{j, \theta_0} &= i \frac{\frac{4\pi k_0^3 c \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{\omega_0^2} \frac{\sin(k_0 w)}{k_0 w}}{\frac{2(-1)^j m_0'^2}{\pi} \frac{\omega_0'}{l_0'(l_0'+1)c^2} j_{l_0}'\left(\frac{\omega_0' w}{c}\right) \left((P_{l_0}'^{m_0}')(\cos(\theta_0)) \right)} \\ &= i \frac{2\pi^2 (-1)^j l_0' (l_0'+1) \sin\left(\frac{\omega_0 w}{c}\right)}{m_0'^2 c w \omega_0' j_{l_0}'\left(\frac{\omega_0' w}{c}\right) \left((P_{l_0}'^{m_0}')(\cos(\theta_0)) \right)} \end{aligned}$$

V' can be generated from an AC potential of frequency $\frac{(\omega_0 + \omega_0')}{4\pi}$, with a variable transformer, in which the sliding contact determining the turns ratio varies as $\sin\left(\frac{(\omega_0 - \omega_0')}{2} t\right)$. Alternatively, the potentials;

$$\frac{4\pi k_0^3 c \epsilon_0}{\omega_0^2} \frac{\sin(k_0 w)}{k_0 w} \cos(\omega_0' t)$$

$$\frac{4\pi k_0^3 c \epsilon_0}{\omega_0^2} \frac{\sin(k_0 w)}{k_0 w} \cos(\omega_0 t)$$

can be generated directly using an RL or RC circuit, tuned to the correct resonant frequency, and then combined using a mixer. Notice that the approximation to the current becomes better with large m_0' .

□

Lemma 0.2. *Let $(\rho, \bar{J}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})$ be the configuration found in Lemma 0.1, and let (\bar{E}', \bar{B}') be the causal fields generated by Jefimenko's equations for the current and charge (ρ, \bar{J}) restricted to $B(\bar{0}, w)$. Then*

on $B^\circ(\bar{0}, w)$, $\bar{E}' = \bar{E} + \bar{E}_0$, $\bar{B}' = \bar{B}_0$ where (\bar{E}_0, \bar{B}_0) is a solution to Maxwell's equation in vacuum, and on $B(\bar{0}, w)^c$, (\bar{E}', \bar{B}') is a solution to Maxwell's equation in vacuum.

Proof. By the proof in [11], we have that $(\rho, \bar{J}, \bar{E}', \bar{B}')$ satisfy Maxwell's equations on $B^\circ(\bar{0}, w)$ and $(0, \bar{0}, \bar{E}', \bar{B}')$ satisfy Maxwell's equations on $B(\bar{0}, w)^c$. By the proof in [11], we can find (\bar{E}_0, \bar{B}_0) satisfying Maxwell's equations in vacuum on $B^\circ(\bar{0}, w)$, such that;

$$\nabla \times (\bar{E}' - \bar{E}_0) = \bar{0}$$

$$\text{We then have that } \frac{\partial(\bar{B}' - \bar{B}_0)}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\bar{E}' - \bar{E}_0) = \bar{0}$$

so that $(\bar{B}' - \bar{B}_0)$ is magnetostatic. By the proof of Lemma 0.1 and a careful examination of the proof in [11], we have that;

$$\bar{B}' - \bar{B}_0$$

is of the form $\bar{b}''(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$, so that $-i\omega\bar{b}'' = \bar{0}$, $\bar{b}'' = \bar{0}$ and $\bar{B}' = \bar{B}_0$. We have that;

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{E}' - \bar{E}_0 - \bar{E}, \bar{B}' - \bar{B}_0 - \bar{B}) &= (\bar{E}' - \bar{E}_0 - \bar{E}, \bar{0} - \bar{0}) \\ &= (\bar{E}' - \bar{E}_0 - \bar{E}, \bar{0}) \end{aligned}$$

is a solution to Maxwell's equation in vacuum, on the ball $B(\bar{0}, w)$, so that, by Maxwell's fourth equation;

$$\frac{\partial(\bar{E}' - \bar{E}_0 - \bar{E})}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \bar{0} - \bar{0} = \bar{0}$$

Again, using the explicit form $\bar{e}''(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ for $\bar{E}' - \bar{E}_0 - \bar{E}$, it follows that $\bar{E}' - \bar{E}_0 = \bar{E}$. □

Lemma 0.3. *Let \bar{E} be a field, of the form $\bar{e}(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ with the property that $\square^2(\bar{E}) = \bar{0}$ and $\nabla \cdot \bar{E} = 0$, or equivalently $\nabla^2(\bar{e}) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\bar{e}$ and $\nabla \cdot \bar{e} = 0$, then there exists a unique field \bar{B} of the form $\bar{b}e^{-i\omega t}$ such that the pair (\bar{E}, \bar{B}) satisfies Maxwell's equations in free space.*

Proof. Clearly (i) of Maxwell's equations is satisfied. Let $\bar{B} = \bar{b}e^{-i\omega t}$, where $\bar{b} = -\frac{i}{\omega} \nabla \times \bar{e}$. For (ii), we have that;

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \bar{E} &= (\nabla \times \bar{e})e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= i\omega\left(\frac{-i}{\omega}\right) \nabla \times \bar{e}e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= i\omega\bar{b}e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= -\frac{\partial \bar{B}}{\partial t}
\end{aligned}$$

For (iii), we have that;

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \cdot \bar{B} &= \nabla \cdot (\bar{b}e^{-i\omega t}) \\
&= (\nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{i}{\omega} \nabla \times \bar{e}\right))e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

For (iv), we have, by the properties of \bar{e} that;

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \bar{B} &= \nabla \times (\bar{b}e^{-i\omega t}) \\
&= (\nabla \times \left(-\frac{i}{\omega} \nabla \times \bar{e}\right))e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= -\frac{i}{\omega}(\nabla \times \nabla \times \bar{e})e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= -\frac{i}{\omega}(\nabla(\nabla \cdot \bar{e}) - \nabla^2(\bar{e}))e^{-i\omega t} \\
&\quad -\frac{i}{\omega}(-\nabla^2(\bar{e}))e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= \frac{i}{\omega} \frac{-\omega^2}{c^2} \bar{e}e^{-i\omega t} \\
&\quad -\frac{i\omega}{c^2} \bar{e}e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \bar{E}}{\partial t}
\end{aligned}$$

For uniqueness, let (\bar{E}, \bar{B}_1) and (\bar{E}, \bar{B}_2) be two pairs of the above form, so that, subtracting, $(\bar{0}, \bar{B}_1 - \bar{B}_2)$ is a solution to Maxwell's equation in vacuum. By (ii);

$$\frac{\partial(\bar{B}_1 - \bar{B}_2)}{\partial t} = -i\omega(\bar{B}_1 - \bar{B}_2)$$

$$= -(\nabla \times \bar{0})$$

$$= \bar{0}$$

so that $\bar{B}_1 = \bar{B}_2$. □

Lemma 0.4. *If \bar{V} is a vector potential of the form $\bar{v}(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$, with the property that $\square^2(\bar{V}) = 0$, or equivalently $\nabla^2(\bar{v}) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\bar{v}$, then if $\bar{E} = \nabla \times \bar{V}$, we have that \bar{E} satisfies the properties in Lemma 0.3. Given boundary conditions $\{\bar{f}, \bar{g}\}$ on $\delta S(\bar{0}, w)$, if;*

$$\nabla \times \bar{v}|_{\delta S(\bar{0}, w)} = \bar{f}$$

$$-\frac{i}{\omega}(\nabla \times \nabla \times \bar{v})|_{\delta S(\bar{0}, w)} = \bar{g}$$

then the corresponding fields $\{\bar{E}, \bar{B}\}$ are continuous with fields $\{\bar{f}e^{-i\omega t}, \bar{g}e^{-i\omega t}\}$ on $B(\bar{0}, w)$. These boundary conditions can be satisfied for \bar{v} with the above property, if $\bar{g} = \bar{0}$ and $\bar{f}^r = \bar{f}^\theta = 0$. In particular, these boundary conditions are satisfied for the configuration from Lemma 0.1, when $\bar{J}^r|_{\delta B(\bar{0}, w)} = 0$ or when $\bar{J}^\theta|_{\delta B(\bar{0}, w)}$, in which case we obtain a 2-dimensional family of solutions.

Proof. The first claim follows easily, noting that;

$$\nabla \cdot \bar{E} = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \bar{V})$$

$$= 0$$

$$\square^2(\bar{E}) = \square^2(\nabla \times \bar{V}) = \nabla \times \square^2(\bar{V})$$

$$= \nabla \times \bar{0}$$

$$= \bar{0}$$

We can write \bar{v} in the form;

$$\bar{v}(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l (v_{lm}^r(r)\bar{Y}_{lm}(r, \theta, \phi) + v_{lm}^1(r)\bar{\Psi}_{lm}(r, \theta, \phi) + v_{lm}^2(r)\bar{\Phi}_{lm}(r, \theta, \phi))$$

where $\{\bar{Y}_{lm}, \bar{\Psi}_{lm}, \bar{\Phi}_{lm}\}$ are vector spherical harmonics, see [2].

Then;

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^2(\bar{v}) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{dv_{lm}^r}{dr} \right) \bar{Y}_{lm} + \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{dv_{lm}^1}{dr} \right) \bar{\Psi}_{lm} + \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{dv_{lm}^2}{dr} \right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm} \\
&+ v_{lm}^r \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} (2+l(l+1)) \right) \bar{Y}_{lm} + \frac{2}{r^2} \bar{\Psi}_{lm} + v_{lm}^1 \left(\frac{2}{r^2} l(l+1) \bar{Y}_{lm} - \frac{1}{r^2} l(l+1) \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \right) \\
&+ v_{lm}^2 \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} l(l+1) \bar{\Phi}_{lm} \right) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{dv_{lm}^r}{dr} + v_{lm}^r \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} (2+l(l+1)) \right) + v_{lm}^1 \left(\frac{2}{r^2} l(l+1) \right) \right) \bar{Y}_{lm} \\
&+ \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{dv_{lm}^1}{dr} + v_{lm}^r \frac{2}{r^2} - v_{lm}^1 \frac{1}{r^2} l(l+1) \right) \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \\
&+ \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{dv_{lm}^2}{dr} - v_{lm}^2 \frac{1}{r^2} l(l+1) \right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm}
\end{aligned}$$

so that equating coefficients, the condition $\nabla^2(\bar{v}) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \bar{v}$, becomes;

$$(i). \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{dv_{lm}^r}{dr} + v_{lm}^r \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} (2+l(l+1)) \right) + v_{lm}^1 \left(\frac{2}{r^2} l(l+1) \right) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} v_{lm}^r$$

$$(ii). \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{dv_{lm}^1}{dr} + v_{lm}^r \frac{2}{r^2} - v_{lm}^1 \frac{1}{r^2} l(l+1) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} v_{lm}^1$$

$$(iii). \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{dv_{lm}^2}{dr} - v_{lm}^2 \frac{1}{r^2} l(l+1) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} v_{lm}^2$$

or equivalently;

$$(i). (v_{lm}^r)'' + \frac{2}{r} (v_{lm}^r)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2+l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) v_{lm}^r + \frac{2l(l+1)}{r^2} v_{lm}^1 = 0$$

$$(ii). (v_{lm}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r} (v_{lm}^1)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) v_{lm}^1 + \frac{2}{r^2} v_{lm}^r = 0$$

$$(iii). (v_{lm}^2)'' + \frac{2}{r} (v_{lm}^2)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) v_{lm}^2 = 0 \quad (P)$$

Letting $\bar{w} = (v_{lm}^r, (v_{lm}^r)', v_{lm}^1, (v_{lm}^1)', v_{lm}^2, (v_{lm}^2)')$, we can write these conditions in the form;

$$\bar{w}' = M\bar{w}$$

where M is a matrix, with;

$$M_{12} = 1, M_{1j} = 0, j = 1 \text{ or } 3 \leq j \leq 6$$

$$M_{34} = 1, M_{3j} = 0, 1 \leq j \leq 2, 4 \leq j \leq 6$$

$$M_{56} = 1, M_{5j} = 0, 1 \leq j \leq 5$$

$$M_{21} = -\left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2+l(l+1)}{r^2}\right), M_{22} = -\frac{2}{r}, M_{23} = -\frac{2l(l+1)}{r^2}$$

$$M_{2j} = 0, 4 \leq j \leq 6$$

$$M_{43} = -\left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right), M_{44} = -\frac{2}{r}, M_{41} = -\frac{2}{r^2}$$

$$M_{4j} = 0, j = 2, 5 \leq j \leq 6$$

$$M_{65} = -\left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right), M_{66} = -\frac{2}{r}, M_{6j} = 0, 1 \leq j \leq 4$$

By the vector valued version of Peano's existence and uniqueness theorem, this has a unique solution given the initial values of \bar{w} at w . We have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \bar{v} &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l (\nabla \times (v_{lm}^r \bar{Y}_{lm}) + \nabla \times (v_{lm}^1 \bar{\Psi}_{lm}) + \nabla \times (v_{lm}^2 \bar{\Phi}_{lm})) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(-\frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^r \bar{\Phi}_{lm} + \left(\frac{dv_{lm}^1}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^1 \right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm} + \left(-\frac{l(l+1)}{r} \right) v_{lm}^2 \bar{Y}_{lm} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{dv_{lm}^2}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^2 \right) \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(-\frac{l(l+1)}{r} \right) v_{lm}^2 \bar{Y}_{lm} - \left(\frac{dv_{lm}^2}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^2 \right) \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{dv_{lm}^1}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^r \right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm} \end{aligned}$$

so the first boundary condition becomes;

$$(a). -\frac{l(l+1)}{w} v_{lm}^2(w) = \bar{f}_{lm}^r(w)$$

$$(b). -\left(\frac{dv_{lm}^2}{dr}(w) + \frac{1}{w} v_{lm}^2(w) \right) = \bar{f}_{lm}^1(w)$$

$$(c). \left(\frac{dv_{lm}^1}{dr}(w) + \frac{1}{w} v_{lm}^1(w) - \frac{1}{w} v_{lm}^r(w) \right) = \bar{f}_{lm}^2(w)$$

We have that, using (P);

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \nabla \times \bar{v} &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \nabla \times \left(\left(-\frac{l(l+1)}{r} \right) v_{lm}^2 \bar{Y}_{lm} \right) \\ &\quad - \nabla \times \left(\left(\frac{dv_{lm}^2}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^2 \right) \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \right) + \nabla \times \left(\left(\frac{dv_{lm}^1}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^r \right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l -\frac{1}{r} \left(-\frac{l(l+1)}{r} v_{lm}^2 \right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm} - \left(\frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{dv_{lm}^2}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{dv_{lm}^2}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^2 \right) \right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm} \\
&+ \left(-\frac{l(l+1)}{r} \left(\frac{dv_{lm}^1}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^r \right) \right) \bar{Y}_{lm} - \left(\frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{dv_{lm}^1}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^r \right) \right. \\
&+ \left. \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{dv_{lm}^1}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r} v_{lm}^r \right) \right) \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left[-l(l+1) \left(\frac{1}{r} (v_{lm}^1)' + \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^r \right) \right] \bar{Y}_{lm} \\
&+ \left[- (v_{lm}^1)'' + \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r} (v_{lm}^1)' - \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^r + \frac{1}{r} (v_{lm}^r)' + \frac{1}{r} (v_{lm}^1)' + \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^1 \right. \\
&- \left. \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^r \right] \bar{\Psi}_{lm} + \left[\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} v_{lm}^2 - (v_{lm}^2)'' + \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^2 - \frac{1}{r} (v_{lm}^2)' - \frac{1}{r} (v_{lm}^2)' - \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^2 \right] \bar{\Phi}_{lm} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left[-l(l+1) \left(\frac{1}{r} (v_{lm}^1)' + \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^r \right) \right] \bar{Y}_{lm} \\
&+ \left[- (v_{lm}^1)'' + \frac{1}{r} (v_{lm}^r)' + \frac{2}{r^2} v_{lm}^1 - \frac{2}{r^2} v_{lm}^r \right] \bar{\Psi}_{lm} + \left[- (v_{lm}^2)'' - \frac{2}{r} (v_{lm}^2)' + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} v_{lm}^2 \right] \bar{\Phi}_{lm} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left[-l(l+1) \left(\frac{1}{r} (v_{lm}^1)' + \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^r \right) \right] \bar{Y}_{lm} \\
&+ \left[\frac{2}{r} (v_{lm}^1)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) v_{lm}^1 + \frac{2}{r^2} v_{lm}^r + \frac{1}{r} (v_{lm}^r)' + \frac{2}{r^2} v_{lm}^1 - \frac{2}{r^2} v_{lm}^r \right] \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \\
&+ \left[\frac{2}{r} (v_{lm}^2)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) v_{lm}^2 - \frac{2}{r} (v_{lm}^2)' + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} v_{lm}^2 \right] \bar{\Phi}_{lm} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left[-l(l+1) \left(\frac{1}{r} (v_{lm}^1)' + \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r^2} v_{lm}^r \right) \right] \bar{Y}_{lm} \\
&+ \left[\frac{2}{r} (v_{lm}^1)' + \frac{1}{r} (v_{lm}^r)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} + \frac{2-l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) v_{lm}^1 \right] \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \\
&+ \left[\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} v_{lm}^2 \right] \bar{\Phi}_{lm}
\end{aligned}$$

so the second boundary condition becomes;

$$(d). \quad \frac{il(l+1)}{\omega} \left(\frac{1}{w} (v_{lm}^1)'(w) + \frac{1}{w^2} v_{lm}^1(w) - \frac{1}{w^2} v_{lm}^r(w) \right) = \bar{g}_{lm}^r(w)$$

$$(e). \quad -\frac{2i}{w\omega} (v_{lm}^1)'(w) - \frac{i}{w\omega} (v_{lm}^r)'(w) - \frac{i}{\omega} \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} + \frac{2-l(l+1)}{w^2} \right) v_{lm}^1(w) = \bar{g}_{lm}^1(w)$$

$$(f). \quad -\frac{i}{\omega} \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} v_{lm}^2(w) = \bar{g}_{lm}^2(w)$$

We can write the two boundary conditions in the form;

$$N\bar{w}|_w = \bar{s}$$

where \bar{w} is as above, and;

$$\bar{s} = (\bar{f}_{lm}^r(w), \bar{f}_{lm}^1(w), \bar{f}_{lm}^2(w), \bar{g}_{lm}^r(w), \bar{g}_{lm}^1(w), \bar{g}_{lm}^2(w))$$

and N is a matrix, with;

$$N_{15} = -\frac{l(l+1)}{w}, N_{1j} = 0, j = 6 \text{ or } 1 \leq j \leq 4$$

$$N_{25} = -\frac{1}{w}, N_{26} = -1, N_{2j} = 0, 1 \leq j \leq 4$$

$$N_{65} = -\frac{i}{\omega} \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}, N_{6j} = 0, j = 6 \text{ or } 1 \leq j \leq 4$$

$$N_{31} = -\frac{1}{w}, N_{33} = \frac{1}{w}, N_{34} = 1, N_{3j} = 0, j = 2 \text{ or } 5 \leq j \leq 6$$

$$N_{41} = -\frac{i(l+1)}{\omega} \frac{1}{w^2}, N_{43} = \frac{i(l+1)}{\omega} \frac{1}{w^2}, N_{44} = \frac{i(l+1)}{\omega} \frac{1}{w} N_{4j} = 0, j = 2$$

or $5 \leq j \leq 6$

$$N_{52} = -\frac{i}{\omega} \frac{1}{w}, N_{53} = -\frac{i}{\omega} \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} + \frac{2-l(l+1)}{w^2} \right), N_{54} = -\frac{i}{\omega} \frac{2}{w}, N_{5j} = 0, j = 1$$

or $5 \leq j \leq 6$

If $\bar{g} = \bar{0}$ and $\bar{f}^r = \bar{f}^2 = 0$, then;

$$\bar{s} = (0, \bar{f}_{lm}^1(w), 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

and we obtain a solution by setting;

$$v_{lm}^2 = 0$$

$$(v_{lm}^2)' = -\bar{f}_{lm}^1(w)$$

$$-v_{lm}^r + v_{lm}^1 + w(v_{lm}^1)' = 0$$

$$(v_{lm}^r)' + w \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} + \frac{2-l(l+1)}{w^2} \right) v_{lm}^1 + 2(v_{lm}^1)' = 0$$

which is a 2 dimensional family, as we are free to choose v_{lm}^1 and $(v_{lm}^1)'$. Using the fact that, for the configuration $(\rho, \bar{J}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})$ inside the magnetron;

$$\nabla \times \bar{E} = -\frac{\partial \bar{B}}{\partial t} = \bar{0}$$

$$\nabla \times \bar{B} = \mu_0 \bar{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \bar{E}}{\partial t} = \bar{0}$$

we obtain, at the boundary;

$$(\nabla \times \bar{E})_{lm}^r = -\frac{l(l+1)}{w} (\bar{E})_{lm}^2 = 0$$

so that $(\bar{E})_{lm}^2(w) = 0$, and;

$$\mu_0 (\bar{J})_{lm}^r - \frac{i\omega}{c^2} (\bar{E})_{lm}^r$$

so that, with the hypothesis that $\bar{J}^r|_{\delta B(\bar{0}, w)} = 0$ or $\bar{J}|_{\delta B(\bar{0}, w)} = \bar{0}$, we obtain that $(\bar{E})_{lm}^r(w) = 0$, as required.

□

Lemma 0.5. *If V and \bar{A} are potentials of the form $v(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ and $\bar{a}(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$, with the property that $\nabla \cdot \bar{A} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$ and $\square^2(\bar{A}) = \bar{0}$, or equivalently $\nabla \cdot \bar{a} = \frac{i\omega}{c^2} v$ and $\nabla^2(\bar{a}) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \bar{a}$, then if;*

$$\bar{E} = -\nabla(V) - \frac{\partial \bar{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla(V) + i\omega \bar{A}$$

$$\bar{B} = \nabla \times \bar{A}$$

we have that $\{\bar{E}, \bar{B}\}$ satisfy Maxwell's equations in free space on $B(\bar{0}, w)^c$. Given boundary conditions $\{\bar{f}, \bar{g}\}$ on $\delta S(\bar{0}, w)$, if;

$$-\nabla(v) + i\omega \bar{a}|_{\delta S(\bar{0}, w)} = \bar{f}$$

$$\nabla \times \bar{a}|_{\delta S(\bar{0}, w)} = \bar{g}$$

then the corresponding fields $\{\bar{E}, \bar{B}\}$ are continuous with fields $\{\bar{f}e^{-i\omega t}, \bar{g}e^{-i\omega t}\}$ on $B(\bar{0}, w)$. These boundary conditions can be satisfied for $\{v, \bar{a}\}$ with the above property, if $\bar{g} = \bar{0}$ and $\bar{f}^r = \bar{f}^2 = 0$. In particular, these boundary conditions are satisfied for the configuration from Lemma 0.1, with $\bar{J}|_{\delta B(\bar{0}, w)} = \bar{0}$, in which case we obtain a 2-dimensional family of solutions in the TM mode.

Proof. First observe that if V is of the form $v(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$, then as $\square^2 \bar{A} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -i\omega V$, we obtain, using the Lorentz gauge condition, that $\nabla \cdot \bar{A} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$;

$$\begin{aligned} \square^2(V) &= \frac{i}{\omega} \square^2\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}\right) \\ &= \frac{i}{\omega} \square^2(-c^2 \nabla \cdot \bar{A}) \\ &= -\frac{c^2 i}{\omega} \nabla \cdot (\square^2 \bar{A}) \\ &= -\frac{c^2 i}{\omega} \nabla \cdot (\bar{0}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The first claim then follows from the result in [11], as the Lorentz gauge condition and wave equations for (V, \bar{A}) are satisfied. We can write v in the form;

$$v(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l (v_{lm}(r) Y_{lm}(r, \theta, \phi))$$

where the $\{Y_{lm} : l \geq 0, -l \leq m \leq l\}$ are the spherical harmonics. Then;

$$\nabla^2(v) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dv_{lm}}{dr} \right) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} v_{lm} \right) Y_{lm}$$

so that equating coefficients, the condition $\nabla^2(\bar{v}) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \bar{v}$, becomes;

$$(i). \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dv_{lm}}{dr} \right) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} v_{lm} = \frac{-\omega^2}{c^2} v_{lm}$$

or equivalently;

$$(i). (v_{lm})'' + \frac{2}{r} (v_{lm})' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) v_{lm} = 0 \quad (P)$$

We can write \bar{a} in the form;

$$\bar{a}(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l (a_{lm}^r(r) \bar{Y}_{lm}(r, \theta, \phi) + a_{lm}^1(r) \bar{\Psi}_{lm}(r, \theta, \phi) + a_{lm}^2(r) \bar{\Phi}_{lm}(r, \theta, \phi))$$

where $\{\bar{Y}_{lm}, \bar{\Psi}_{lm}, \bar{\Phi}_{lm}\}$ are vector spherical harmonics, see [2].

Then;

$$\nabla \cdot \bar{a} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(\frac{da_{lm}^r}{dr} + \frac{2}{r} a_{lm}^r - \frac{l(l+1)}{r} a_{lm}^1 \right) Y_{lm}$$

so that equating coefficients, the Lorentz gauge condition;

$$v = -\frac{ic^2}{\omega} \nabla \cdot \bar{a}$$

becomes;

$$(ii). v_{lm} = -\frac{ic^2}{\omega} \left(\frac{da_{lm}^r}{dr} + \frac{2}{r} a_{lm}^r - \frac{l(l+1)}{r} a_{lm}^1 \right)$$

or equivalently;

$$(ii). v_{lm} = -\frac{ic^2}{\omega} \left((a_{lm}^r)' + \frac{2}{r} a_{lm}^r - \frac{l(l+1)}{r} a_{lm}^1 \right) \quad (P2)$$

Moreover;

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2(\bar{a}) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{da_{lm}^r}{dr} \right) \bar{Y}_{lm} + \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{da_{lm}^1}{dr} \right) \bar{\Psi}_{lm} + \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{da_{lm}^2}{dr} \right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm} \\ &+ a_{lm}^r \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} (2+l(l+1)) \right) \bar{Y}_{lm} + \frac{2}{r^2} \bar{\Psi}_{lm} + a_{lm}^1 \left(\frac{2}{r^2} l(l+1) \right) \bar{Y}_{lm} - \frac{1}{r^2} l(l+1) \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \\ &+ a_{lm}^2 \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} l(l+1) \right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{da_{lm}^r}{dr} + a_{lm}^r \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} (2+l(l+1)) \right) + a_{lm}^1 \left(\frac{2}{r^2} l(l+1) \right) \right) \bar{Y}_{lm} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{da_{lm}^1}{dr} + a_{lm}^r \frac{2}{r^2} - a_{lm}^1 \frac{1}{r^2} l(l+1) \right) \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{da_{lm}^2}{dr} - a_{lm}^2 \frac{1}{r^2} l(l+1) \right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm} \end{aligned}$$

so that equating coefficients again, the condition $\nabla^2(\bar{a}) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \bar{a}$, becomes;

$$(iii). \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{da_{lm}^r}{dr} + a_{lm}^r \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} (2+l(l+1)) \right) + a_{lm}^1 \left(\frac{2}{r^2} l(l+1) \right) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} a_{lm}^r$$

$$(iv). \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{da_{lm}^1}{dr} + a_{lm}^r \frac{2}{r^2} - a_{lm}^1 \frac{1}{r^2} l(l+1) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} a_{lm}^1$$

$$(v). \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{da_{lm}^2}{dr} - a_{lm}^2 \frac{1}{r^2} l(l+1) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} a_{lm}^2$$

or equivalently;

$$(iii). (a_{lm}^r)'' + \frac{2}{r} (a_{lm}^r)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2+l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) a_{lm}^r + \frac{2l(l+1)}{r^2} a_{lm}^1 = 0$$

$$(iv). (a_{lm}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{lm}^1)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)a_{lm}^1 + \frac{2}{r^2}a_{lm}^r = 0$$

$$(v). (a_{lm}^2)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{lm}^2)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)a_{lm}^2 = 0 \quad (Q)$$

Letting $\bar{w} = (a_{lm}^r, (a_{lm}^r)', a_{lm}^1, (a_{lm}^1)', a_{lm}^2, (a_{lm}^2)'),$ we can write conditions (iii), (iv), (v) in the form;

$$\bar{w}' = M\bar{w}$$

where M is a 6×6 matrix, with;

$$M_{12} = 1, M_{1j} = 0, j = 1 \text{ or } 3 \leq j \leq 6$$

$$M_{34} = 1, M_{3j} = 0, 1 \leq j \leq 3, 5 \leq j \leq 6$$

$$M_{56} = 1, M_{5j} = 0, 1 \leq j \leq 5$$

$$M_{21} = -\left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2+l(l+1)}{r^2}\right), M_{22} = -\frac{2}{r}, M_{23} = -\frac{2l(l+1)}{r^2}, M_{2j} = 0$$

$$4 \leq j \leq 6$$

$$M_{43} = -\left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right), M_{44} = -\frac{2}{r}, M_{41} = -\frac{2}{r^2}, M_{4j} = 0, j = 2$$

$$\text{or } 5 \leq j \leq 6$$

$$M_{66} = -\frac{2}{r}, M_{65} = \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right), M_{6j} = 0, 1 \leq j \leq 4$$

By the vector valued version of Peano's existence and uniqueness theorem, this has a unique solution given the initial values of \bar{w} at w . We have that;

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla(v) &= -\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \frac{dv_{lm}}{dr} \bar{Y}_{lm} + \frac{v_{lm}}{r} \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \\ i\omega \bar{a} &= i\omega \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l (a_{lm}^r \bar{Y}_{lm} + a_{lm}^1 \bar{\Psi}_{lm} + a_{lm}^2 \bar{\Phi}_{lm}) \\ \nabla \times \bar{a} &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l (\nabla \times (a_{lm}^r \bar{Y}_{lm}) + \nabla \times (a_{lm}^1 \bar{\Psi}_{lm}) + \nabla \times (a_{lm}^2 \bar{\Phi}_{lm})) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(-\frac{1}{r} a_{lm}^r \bar{\Phi}_{lm} + \left(\frac{da_{lm}^1}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} a_{lm}^1\right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm} + \left(-\frac{l(l+1)}{r}\right) a_{lm}^2 \bar{Y}_{lm} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{da_{lm}^2}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} a_{lm}^2\right) \bar{\Psi}_{lm}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(-\frac{l(l+1)}{r}\right) a_{lm}^2 \bar{Y}_{lm} - \left(\frac{da_{lm}^2}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} a_{lm}^2\right) \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \\ + \left(\frac{da_{lm}^1}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} a_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r} a_{lm}^r\right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm}$$

so the boundary conditions become;

$$(a). -\frac{dv_{lm}}{dr}(w) + i\omega a_{lm}^r(w) = \bar{f}_{lm}^r(w)$$

$$(b). -\frac{v_{lm}(w)}{w} + i\omega a_{lm}^1(w) = \bar{f}_{lm}^1(w)$$

$$(c). i\omega a_{lm}^2(w) = \bar{f}_{lm}^2(w)$$

$$(d). \left(-\frac{l(l+1)}{w}\right) a_{lm}^2(w) = \bar{g}_{lm}(w)$$

$$(e). -\left(\frac{da_{lm}^2}{dr}(w) + \frac{1}{w} a_{lm}^2(w)\right) = \bar{g}_{lm}^1(w)$$

$$(f). \left(\frac{da_{lm}^1}{dr}(w) + \frac{1}{w} a_{lm}^1(w) - \frac{1}{w} a_{lm}^r(w)\right) = \bar{g}_{lm}^2(w)$$

and using the two relation (ii), (P2) and (iii);

$$v_{lm} = -\frac{ic^2}{\omega} \left((a_{lm}^r)' + \frac{2}{r} a_{lm}^r - \frac{l(l+1)}{r} a_{lm}^1\right)$$

$$(a_{lm}^r)'' + \frac{2}{r} (a_{lm}^r)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2+l(l+1)}{r^2}\right) a_{lm}^r + \frac{2l(l+1)}{r^2} a_{lm}^1 = 0$$

we have that;

$$\frac{dv_{lm}^r}{dr} = -\frac{ic^2}{\omega} \left((a_{lm}^r)'' - \frac{2}{r^2} a_{lm}^r + \frac{2}{r} (a_{lm}^r)' + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} a_{lm}^1 - \frac{l(l+1)}{r} (a_{lm}^1)'\right) \\ = -\frac{ic^2}{\omega} \left(-\frac{2}{r} (a_{lm}^r)' - \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2+l(l+1)}{r^2}\right) a_{lm}^r - \frac{2l(l+1)}{r^2} a_{lm}^1 - \frac{2}{r^2} a_{lm}^r + \frac{2}{r} (a_{lm}^r)'\right) \\ + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} a_{lm}^1 - \frac{l(l+1)}{r} (a_{lm}^1)') \\ = -\frac{ic^2}{\omega} \left(-\left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right) a_{lm}^r - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} a_{lm}^1 - \frac{l(l+1)}{r} (a_{lm}^1)'\right)$$

so we can rewrite (a), (b) as;

$$(a)'. \frac{ic^2}{\omega} \left(-\left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{w^2}\right) a_{lm}^r(w) - \frac{l(l+1)}{w^2} a_{lm}^1(w) - \frac{l(l+1)}{w} (a_{lm}^1)'(w)\right) + i\omega a_{lm}^r(w)$$

$$= \bar{f}_{lm}^r(w)$$

$$(b)'. \frac{ic^2}{w\omega}((a_{lm}^r)')(w) + \frac{2}{w}a_{lm}^r(w) - \frac{l(l+1)}{w}a_{lm}^1(w) + i\omega a_{lm}^1(w) = \bar{f}_{lm}^1(w)$$

or equivalently;

$$(a)' \frac{il(l+1)c^2}{w^2}a_{lm}^r(w) - \frac{ic^2l(l+1)}{w^2\omega}a_{lm}^1(w) - \frac{ic^2l(l+1)}{w\omega}(a_{lm}^1)')(w) \\ = \bar{f}_{lm}^r(w)$$

$$(b)' \frac{2ic^2}{w^2\omega}a_{lm}^r(w) + \frac{ic^2}{w\omega}(a_{lm}^r)')(w) + (i\omega - \frac{ic^2l(l+1)}{w^2\omega})a_{lm}^1(w) = \bar{f}_{lm}^1(w)$$

We can write the boundary conditions (a)', (b)', (c), (d), (e), (f) in the form;

$$N\bar{w}|_w = \bar{s}$$

where \bar{w} is as above, and;

$$\bar{s} = (\bar{f}_{lm}^r(w), \bar{f}_{lm}^1(w), \bar{f}_{lm}^2(w), \bar{g}_{lm}^r(w), \bar{g}_{lm}^1(w), \bar{g}_{lm}^2(w))$$

and N is a matrix, with;

$$N_{11} = \frac{il(l+1)c^2}{w^2\omega}, N_{13} = -\frac{ic^2l(l+1)}{w^2\omega}, N_{14} = -\frac{ic^2l(l+1)}{w\omega}, N_{1j} = 0$$

$$j = 2 \text{ or } 5 \leq j \leq 6$$

$$N_{21} = \frac{2ic^2}{w^2\omega}, N_{22} = \frac{ic^2}{w\omega}, N_{23} = i\omega - \frac{ic^2l(l+1)}{w^2\omega}, N_{2j} = 0, 4 \leq j \leq 6$$

$$N_{35} = i\omega, N_{3j} = 0, 1 \leq j \leq 4, j = 6$$

$$N_{45} = -\frac{l(l+1)}{w}, N_{4j} = 0, 1 \leq j \leq 4, j = 6$$

$$N_{55} = -\frac{1}{w}, N_{56} = -1, N_{5j} = 0, 1 \leq j \leq 4$$

$$N_{61} = -\frac{1}{w}, N_{63} = \frac{1}{w}, N_{64} = 1, N_{6j} = 0, j = 2, 5 \leq j \leq 6$$

If $\bar{g} = \bar{0}$ and $\bar{f}^r = \bar{f}^2 = 0$, then;

$$\bar{s} = (0, \bar{f}_{lm}^1(w), 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

and we obtain a solution by setting;

$$a_{lm}^2(w) = 0$$

$$(a_{lm}^2)'(w) = 0$$

$$(a_{lm}^r)' = -\frac{iw\omega}{c^2} \left(-\frac{2ic^2}{w^2\omega} a_{lm}^r(w) + \left(\frac{ic^{2l(l+1)}}{w^2\omega} - i\omega \right) a_{lm}^1(w) + \bar{f}_{lm}^1(w) \right)$$

$$= -\frac{2}{w} a_{lm}^r(w) + \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{w} - \frac{w\omega^2}{c^2} \right) a_{lm}^1(w) - \frac{iw\omega}{c^2} \bar{f}_{lm}^1(w)$$

$$\frac{il(l+1)c^2}{w^2\omega} a_{lm}^r(w) - \frac{ic^{2l(l+1)}}{w^2\omega} a_{lm}^1(w) - \frac{ic^{2l(l+1)}}{w\omega} (a_{lm}^1)'(w) = 0$$

$$-\frac{a_{lm}^r(w)}{w} + \frac{a_{lm}^1(w)}{w} + (a_{lm}^1)'(w) = 0$$

which is a 2-dimensional family, as we are free to choose $a_{lm}^1(w)$, $(a_{lm}^1)'(w)$. Using the fact that, for the configuration $(\rho, \bar{J}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})$ inside the magnetron;

$$\nabla \times \bar{E} = -\frac{\partial \bar{B}}{\partial t} = \bar{0}$$

we obtain, at the boundary;

$$(\nabla \times \bar{E})_{lm}^r = -\frac{l(l+1)}{w} (\bar{E})_{lm}^2 = 0$$

$$\text{so that } (\bar{E})_{lm}^2(w) = 0$$

and $\bar{B} = \bar{0}$ by properties of the configuration. By equation (v) of (Q) and the fact that $a_{lm}^2(w) = 0$, $(a_{lm}^2)'(w) = 0$, we obtain that $a_{lm}^2(r) = 0$, for $r \geq w$, so that;

$$(\bar{B})_{lm}^r = (\nabla \times \bar{A})_{lm}^r = -\frac{l(l+1)}{r} (\bar{A})_{lm}^2 = 0$$

and we obtain solutions in the TM mode, with no surface charge or current. Using the fact that;

$$\nabla \times \bar{B} = \mu_0 \bar{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \bar{E}}{\partial t} = \bar{0}$$

we obtain, at the boundary;

$$\mu_0 (\bar{J})_{lm}^r - \frac{i\omega}{c^2} (\bar{E})_{lm}^r = 0$$

so that, with the hypothesis that $\bar{J}^r|_{\delta B(\bar{0},w)} = 0$ or $\bar{J}|_{\delta B(\bar{0},w)} = \bar{0}$, we obtain that $(\bar{E})_{lm}^r(w) = 0$, as required.

□

Lemma 0.6. *If (\bar{E}, \bar{B}) are fields of the form $e(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ and $b(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ satisfying Maxwell's equations in free space, in the region $B(\bar{0}, w)^c$, then there exists potentials V and \bar{A} of the form $v(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ and $\bar{a}(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$, with the property that $\square^2(V) = 0$, $\square^2(\bar{A}) = \bar{0}$, $\nabla \cdot \bar{A} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$, or equivalently $\nabla^2(v) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} v$, $\nabla^2(\bar{a}) = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \bar{a}$, $\nabla \cdot \bar{a} = \frac{i\omega}{c^2} v$, such that;*

$$\bar{E} = -\nabla(V) - \frac{\partial \bar{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla(V) + i\omega \bar{A}$$

$$\bar{B} = \nabla \times \bar{A}$$

In particular, the causal field generated by Jefimenko's equations for the charge and current configuration found in Lemma 0.2 is not in the 2-dimensional family found in Lemma 0.5, unless $\bar{J}^r|_{\delta B(\bar{0},w)} = 0$.

Proof. As $\nabla \cdot \bar{B} = 0$, or equivalently $\nabla \cdot \bar{b} = \bar{0}$, we can find \bar{A}' of the form $\bar{a}'e^{-i\omega t}$ such that $\nabla \times \bar{A}' = \bar{B}$ (A), by requiring that $\nabla \times \bar{a}' = \bar{b}$. Then, as (\bar{E}, \bar{B}) satisfy Maxwell's equations, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \bar{E} &= (\nabla \times \bar{e})e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= -\frac{\partial \bar{B}}{\partial t} \\ &= -\frac{\partial(\nabla \times \bar{A}')}{\partial t} \\ &= i\omega(\nabla \times \bar{a}')e^{-i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

so that;

$$\nabla(\bar{e} - i\omega \bar{a}') = 0$$

and we can find a scalar v' such that;

$$-\nabla(v') = \bar{e} - i\omega \bar{a}'$$

in which case, setting $V' = v'e^{-i\omega t}$, we have that;

$$\bar{E} = -\nabla(V') - \frac{\partial \bar{A}'}{\partial t} \quad (B)$$

Using the proof in [6], p417, as (\bar{E}, \bar{B}) satisfy Maxwell's equations in free space, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2(V') + \frac{\partial(\nabla \cdot \bar{A}')}{\partial t} &= 0 \\ (\nabla^2(\bar{A}') - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{A}'}{\partial t^2}) - \nabla(\nabla \cdot \bar{A}' + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V'}{\partial t}) &= \bar{0} \quad (C) \end{aligned}$$

We claim that we can find potentials (V, \bar{A}) satisfying (A) , (B) , of the form $v(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ and $\bar{a}(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ such that the additional Lorentz gauge condition;

$$\nabla \cdot \bar{A} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \quad (D)$$

holds, in which case, substituting into (C) , we obtain the relations;

$$\square^2(V) = 0$$

$$\square^2(\bar{A}) = \bar{0}$$

as required. As in the proof of [6], for a scalar Λ , if $\bar{A} = \bar{A}' + \nabla(\Lambda)$ and $V = V' - \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial t}$, then (V, \bar{A}) satisfy (A) , (B) , so to obtain (D) , we require that;

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \bar{A} &= \nabla \cdot (\bar{A}' + \nabla(\Lambda)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial(V' - \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial t})}{\partial t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V'}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Lambda}{\partial t^2} \end{aligned}$$

so that;

$$\nabla^2(\Lambda) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Lambda}{\partial t^2} = -\nabla \cdot \bar{A}' - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V'}{\partial t}$$

Writing Λ in the form $\lambda e^{-i\omega t}$, we require a solution to;

$$\nabla^2(\lambda) + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\lambda = -\nabla \cdot (\bar{a}') + \frac{i\omega}{c^2}v'$$

on $B(\bar{0}, w)^c$. Denoting the forcing term $-\nabla \cdot (\bar{a}') + \frac{i\omega}{c^2}v'$ by τ , and letting;

$$\tau = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \tau_{lm}(r) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$$

be its expansion in spherical harmonics, expanding;

$$\lambda = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \lambda_{lm}(r) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$$

in spherical harmonics and equating coefficients, we require that, see (P) in the proof of Lemma 0.5, that;

$$(\lambda_{lm})'' + \frac{2}{r}(\lambda_{lm})' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)\lambda_{lm} = \tau_{lm} \quad (E)$$

in the region $r > w$. This is a second order differential equation, the homogenous version;

$$(\lambda_{lm})'' + \frac{2}{r}(\lambda_{lm})' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)\lambda_{lm} = 0$$

having two independent solutions $j_l(\frac{\omega r}{c})$ and $n_l(\frac{\omega r}{c})$, where j_l and n_l are the spherical Bessel and Neumann functions of order l . By Abel's theorem, the Wronskian $W(j_l(\frac{\omega r}{c}), n_l(\frac{\omega r}{c}))$ is given by;

$$c_0 \exp\left(-\int \frac{2}{r} dr\right) = \frac{c_0}{r^2}$$

where c_0 is a constant, and the general solution of (E), given by variation of parameters, see [3], is;

$$\lambda_{lm}(r) = c_1 j_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + Z_{lm}(r)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constants and;

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{lm}(r) &= -j_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) \int \frac{n_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) \tau_{lm}(r)}{W\left(j_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right), n_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)\right)} dr + n_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) \int \frac{j_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) \tau_{lm}(r)}{W\left(j_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right), n_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)\right)} dr \\ &= -\frac{j_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)}{c_0} \int r^2 n_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) \tau_{lm}(r) dr + \frac{n_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)}{c_0} \int r^2 j_l\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) \tau_{lm}(r) dr \end{aligned}$$

The last claim is clear by Lemmas 0.5, 0.2 and 0.1.

□

Lemma 0.7. *When $l = 0$ or $l = 1$, the equations from Lemma 0.5;*

$$(i). (v_{lm})'' + \frac{2}{r}(v_{lm})' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)v_{lm} = 0 \quad (P)$$

$$(ii). (a_{lm}^r)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{lm}^r)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2+l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)a_{lm}^r + \frac{2l(l+1)}{r^2}a_{lm}^1 = 0$$

$$(iii). (a_{lm}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{lm}^1)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)a_{lm}^1 + \frac{2}{r^2}a_{lm}^r = 0$$

$$(iv). (a_{lm}^2)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{lm}^2)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)a_{lm}^2 = 0 \quad (Q)$$

have an explicit general solution in terms of Bessel and Neumann functions.

Proof. When $l = 0$, the equations;

$$(i). (v_{lm})'' + \frac{2}{r}(v_{lm})' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)v_{lm} = 0$$

$$(ii). (a_{lm}^r)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{lm}^r)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2+l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)a_{lm}^r + \frac{2l(l+1)}{r^2}a_{lm}^1 = 0$$

$$(iii). (a_{lm}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{lm}^1)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)a_{lm}^1 + \frac{2}{r^2}a_{lm}^r = 0$$

$$(iv). (a_{lm}^2)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{lm}^2)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)a_{lm}^2 = 0$$

simplify to;

$$(i). (v_{1m})'' + \frac{2}{r}(v_{1m})' + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}v_{1m} = 0$$

$$(ii). (a_{1m}^r)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^r)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2}{r^2}\right)a_{1m}^r = 0$$

$$(iii). (a_{1m}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^1)' + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}a_{1m}^1 + \frac{2}{r^2}a_{1m}^r = 0$$

$$(iv). (a_{1m}^2)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^2)' + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}a_{1m}^2 = 0$$

By calculating (ii) + (iii), we obtain that;

$$(a_{1m}^r + 2a_{1m}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^r + 2a_{1m}^1)' + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}(a_{1m}^r + a_{1m}^1) = 0$$

which has the general solution;

$$(a_{1m}^r + a_{1m}^1)(r) = c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)$$

where j_0 and n_0 are the spherical Bessel and Neumann functions of order 0. It follows that;

$$a_{lm}^r = c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) - a_{1m}^1(r) \quad (H)$$

and substituting into (iii), we obtain that;

$$(a_{1m}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^1)' + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} a_{1m}^1 + \frac{2}{r^2}(c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) - a_{1m}^1) = 0$$

$$(a_{1m}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^1)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2}{r^2}\right) a_{1m}^1 = -\frac{2}{r^2}(c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)) \quad (K)$$

The homogenous version;

$$(a_{1m}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^1)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2}{r^2}\right) a_{1m}^1 = 0 \quad (I)$$

has a general solution;

$$a_{lm}^1 = c_3 j_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_4 n_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)$$

where j_1 and n_1 are the spherical Bessel and Neumann functions of order 1. By Abel's theorem, the Wronskian $W(j_1(\frac{\omega r}{c}), n_1(\frac{\omega r}{c}))$ is given by;

$$c_5 \exp\left(-\int \frac{2}{r} dr\right) = \frac{c_5}{r^2}$$

where c_5 is a constant, and the general solution of (K), given by variation of parameters again, is;

$$a_{lm}^1(r) = c_3 j_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_4 n_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + V_{lm}(r)$$

where;

$$\begin{aligned} V_{lm}(r) &= -j_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) \int \frac{n_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)\left[-\frac{2}{r^2}(c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right))\right]}{W(j_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right), n_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right))} dr + n_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) \int \frac{j_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)\left[-\frac{2}{r^2}(c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right))\right]}{W(j_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right), n_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right))} dr \\ &= -\frac{j_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)}{c_5} \int r^2 n_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)\left[-\frac{2}{r^2}(c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right))\right] dr \\ &\quad + \frac{n_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)}{c_5} \int r^2 j_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)\left[-\frac{2}{r^2}(c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right))\right] dr \\ &= \frac{2c_1 j_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)}{c_5} \int n_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) dr + \frac{2c_2 j_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)}{c_5} \int n_1 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) dr \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{2c_1n_1(\frac{\omega r}{c})}{c_5} \int j_1j_0(\frac{\omega r}{c})dr - \frac{2c_2n_1(\frac{\omega r}{c})}{c_5} \int j_1n_0(\frac{\omega r}{c})dr$$

so that, substituting into (H), we obtain;

$$a_{lm}^r(r) = c_1j_0(\frac{\omega r}{c}) + c_2n_0(\frac{\omega r}{c}) - 2(c_3j_1(\frac{\omega r}{c}) + c_4n_1(\frac{\omega r}{c}) + V_{lm}(r))$$

as a general solution. The general solutions of (i) and (iv) are given by;

$$v_{1m}(r) = c_6j_0(\frac{\omega r}{c}) + c_7n_0(\frac{\omega r}{c})$$

$$a_{lm}^2(r) = c_8j_0(\frac{\omega r}{c}) + c_9n_0(\frac{\omega r}{c})$$

where c_6, c_7, c_8, c_9 are constants and j_0, n_0 are Bessel and Neumann functions of order 0.

When $l = 1$, the equations;

$$(i). (v_{1m})'' + \frac{2}{r}(v_{1m})' + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2})v_{1m} = 0$$

$$(ii). (a_{1m}^r)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^r)' + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2+l(l+1)}{r^2})a_{1m}^r + \frac{2l(l+1)}{r^2}a_{1m}^1 = 0$$

$$(iii). (a_{1m}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^1)' + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2})a_{1m}^1 + \frac{2}{r^2}a_{1m}^r = 0$$

$$(iv). (a_{1m}^2)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^2)' + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2})a_{1m}^2 = 0$$

simplify to;

$$(i). (v_{1m})'' + \frac{2}{r}(v_{1m})' + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2}{r^2})v_{1m} = 0$$

$$(ii). (a_{1m}^r)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^r)' + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{4}{r^2})a_{1m}^r + \frac{4}{r^2}a_{1m}^1 = 0$$

$$(iii). (a_{1m}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^1)' + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2}{r^2})a_{1m}^1 + \frac{2}{r^2}a_{1m}^r = 0$$

$$(iv). (a_{1m}^2)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^2)' + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2}{r^2})a_{1m}^2 = 0$$

By calculating (ii) + 2(iii), we obtain that;

$$(a_{1m}^r + 2a_{1m}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^r + 2a_{1m}^1)' + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}(a_{1m}^r + 2a_{1m}^1) = 0$$

which has the general solution;

$$(a_{1m}^r + 2a_{1m}^1)(r) = c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)$$

where j_0 and n_0 are the spherical Bessel and Neumann functions of order 0. It follows that;

$$a_{1m}^r = c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) - 2a_{1m}^1(r) \quad (G)$$

and substituting into (iii), we obtain that;

$$(a_{1m}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^1)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2}{r^2}\right)a_{1m}^1 + \frac{2}{r^2}(c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) - 2a_{1m}^1) = 0$$

$$(a_{1m}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^1)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{6}{r^2}\right)a_{1m}^1 = -\frac{2}{r^2}(c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right))$$

The homogenous version;

$$(a_{1m}^1)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{1m}^1)' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{6}{r^2}\right)a_{1m}^1 = 0 \quad (F)$$

has a general solution;

$$a_{1m}^1 = c_3 j_2\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_4 n_2\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)$$

where j_2 and n_2 are the spherical Bessel and Neumann functions of order 2. By Abel's theorem, the Wronskian $W(j_2(\frac{\omega r}{c}), n_2(\frac{\omega r}{c}))$ is given by;

$$c_5 \exp\left(-\int \frac{2}{r} dr\right) = \frac{c_5}{r^2}$$

where c_5 is a constant, and the general solution of (F), given by variation of parameters again, is;

$$a_{1m}^1(r) = c_3 j_2\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_4 n_2\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + T_{1m}(r)$$

where;

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1m}(r) &= -j_2\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) \int \frac{n_2\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)\left[-\frac{2}{r^2}(c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right))\right]}{W(j_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right), n_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right))} dr + n_2\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) \int \frac{j_2\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)\left[-\frac{2}{r^2}(c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right))\right]}{W(j_2\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right), n_2\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right))} dr \\ &= -\frac{j_2\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)}{c_5} \int r^2 n_2\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right)\left[-\frac{2}{r^2}(c_1 j_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) + c_2 n_0\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right))\right] dr \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{n_2(\frac{\omega r}{c})}{c_5} \int r^2 j_2(\frac{\omega r}{c}) [-\frac{2}{r^2} (c_1 j_0(\frac{\omega r}{c}) + c_2 n_0(\frac{\omega r}{c}))] dr \\
& = \frac{2c_1 j_2(\frac{\omega r}{c})}{c_5} \int n_2 j_0(\frac{\omega r}{c}) dr + \frac{2c_2 j_2(\frac{\omega r}{c})}{c_5} \int n_2 n_0(\frac{\omega r}{c}) dr \\
& \quad - \frac{2c_1 n_2(\frac{\omega r}{c})}{c_5} \int j_2 j_0(\frac{\omega r}{c}) dr - \frac{2c_2 n_2(\frac{\omega r}{c})}{c_5} \int j_2 n_0(\frac{\omega r}{c}) dr
\end{aligned}$$

so that, substituting into (G), we obtain;

$$a_{lm}^r(r) = c_1 j_0(\frac{\omega r}{c}) + c_2 n_0(\frac{\omega r}{c}) - 2(c_3 j_2(\frac{\omega r}{c}) + c_4 n_2(\frac{\omega r}{c}) + T_{lm}(r))$$

as a general solution. The general solutions of (i) and (iv) are given by;

$$v_{1m}(r) = c_6 j_1(\frac{\omega r}{c}) + c_7 n_1(\frac{\omega r}{c})$$

$$a_{lm}^2(r) = c_8 j_1(\frac{\omega r}{c}) + c_9 n_1(\frac{\omega r}{c})$$

where c_6, c_7, c_8, c_9 are constants and j_1, n_1 are Bessel and Neumann functions of order 1. □

Lemma 0.8. *If $(\rho, \bar{J}, \bar{E}, \bar{B})$ is the configuration from Lemma 0.1, obtained as a limit of $(\rho_\delta, \bar{J}_\delta, \bar{E}_\delta, \bar{B}_\delta)$, where $(\rho_\delta, \bar{J}_\delta)$ admit the standard wave equation representation in terms of Fourier transforms, then \bar{E} and \bar{J} are radial. Moreover, \bar{E} and \bar{J} can be expanded in terms of Bessel functions and spherical harmonics of order 1.*

Proof. By (PP) in the proof of Lemma 0.1, we have that;

$$\rho(\bar{x}, t) = \alpha \frac{4\pi k^3}{c} e^{-i\omega t} \frac{\sin(|k\bar{x}|)}{|k\bar{x}|}$$

where α is a complex constant and $\omega = kc$. Taking the gradient, and using the fact that;

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \bar{J}}{\partial t} & = -i\omega \bar{J} \\
& = -c^2 \nabla(\rho)
\end{aligned}$$

it is clear as ρ is constant on spheres $S(\bar{0}, r)$, for $r > 0$, that \bar{J} is radial. As $\bar{E} = \frac{1}{i\omega\epsilon_0} \bar{J}$, by Maxwell's fourth equation and $\bar{B} = \bar{0}$, \bar{E} is

radial. We have that, by the proof of (PP) , that;

$$\bar{J} = \alpha \sum_{-1 \leq m \leq 1} \bar{U}(1, m, k) \gamma_{1, m, k} e^{-ikct}$$

where;

$$\bar{U}(1, m, k) = i \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{k^2}{4\pi} \bar{W}(1, m)^*$$

so that, by the calculations in [11], in particularly the spherical expansion of \hat{r} and using the fact that the coefficient vectors $\bar{W}(1, m)$, $-1 \leq m \leq 1$, are real;

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J} &= \alpha \sum_{-1 \leq m \leq 1} i \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{k^2}{4\pi} \bar{W}(1, m)^* k \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} j_1(kr) Y_{1, m}(\theta, \phi) e^{-ikct} \\ &= \alpha dj_1(kr) e^{-ikct} \sum_{-1 \leq m \leq 1} \bar{W}(1, m)^* Y_{1, m}(\theta, \phi) \\ &= \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{-1 \leq m \leq 1} \bar{W}(1, m)^* Y_{1, m}(\theta, \phi) \\ &= \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{-1 \leq m \leq 1} \bar{W}(1, m) Y_{1, m}(\theta, \phi) \\ &= \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t \hat{r}} \end{aligned}$$

where $d = \frac{ik^3}{2\pi^2} = \frac{i\omega^3}{2c^3\pi^2}$ and $\omega = kc$.

It follows that;

$$\bar{E} = \frac{1}{i\omega\epsilon_0} \bar{J} = \frac{1}{i\omega\epsilon_0} \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t \hat{r}}$$

We have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E}_{lm}^r(r) &= \int_{S(\bar{0}, 1)} \bar{E}_{lm} \cdot \bar{Y}_{lm} dS(\bar{0}, 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{i\omega\epsilon_0} \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \int_{S(\bar{0}, 1)} \hat{r} \cdot \hat{r} Y_{lm} dS(\bar{0}, 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{i\omega\epsilon_0} \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \int_{S(\bar{0}, 1)} Y_{lm} dS(\bar{0}, 1) \\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{i\omega\epsilon_0} \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \delta_{0, l} \delta_{0, m} \end{aligned}$$

and, using the divergence theorem;

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{E}_{lm}^1(r) &= \int_{S(\bar{0},1)} \bar{E}_{lm} \cdot \bar{\Psi}_{lm} dS(\bar{0},1) \\
&= \int_{S(\bar{0},1)} \bar{E}_{lm} \cdot r \nabla(Y_{lm}) dS(\bar{0},1) \\
&= \frac{r}{i\omega\epsilon_0} \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \int_{S(\bar{0},1)} \hat{r} \cdot \nabla(Y_{lm}) dS(\bar{0},1) \\
&= \frac{r}{i\omega\epsilon_0} \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \int_{S(\bar{0},1)} \nabla(Y_{lm}) d\bar{S}(\bar{0},1) \\
&= \frac{r}{i\omega\epsilon_0} \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \int_{B(\bar{0},1)} \nabla^2(Y_{lm}) dB(\bar{0},1) \\
&= \frac{r}{i\omega\epsilon_0} \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \int_{B(\bar{0},1)} -\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} Y_{lm} dB(\bar{0},1) \\
&= -\frac{l(l+1)r}{i\omega\epsilon_0} \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \int_{S(\bar{0},1)} Y_{lm} dS(\bar{0},1) \\
&= -\frac{l(l+1)r}{i\omega\epsilon_0} \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \delta_{0,l} \delta_{0,m} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{E}_{lm}^2(r) &= \int_{S(\bar{0},1)} \bar{E}_{lm} \cdot \bar{\Psi}_{lm} dS(\bar{0},1) \\
&= \int_{S(\bar{0},1)} \bar{E}_{lm} \cdot (\bar{r} \times \nabla(Y_{lm})) dS(\bar{0},1) \\
&= \frac{1}{i\omega\epsilon_0} \alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega r}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega t} \int_{S(\bar{0},1)} \hat{r} \cdot (\bar{r} \times \nabla(Y_{lm})) dS(\bar{0},1) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Using the boundary conditions from Lemma 0.5, if ω is chosen so that $j_1\left(\frac{\omega w}{c}\right) = 0$, we obtain a solution by setting;

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{lm}^2(w) &= 0 \\
(a_{lm}^2)'(w) &= 0 \\
(a_{lm}^r)' &= -\frac{2}{w} a_{lm}^r(w) + \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{w} - \frac{w\omega^2}{c^2}\right) a_{lm}^1(w) \\
-\frac{a_{lm}^r(w)}{w} + \frac{a_{lm}^1(w)}{w} + (a_{lm}^1)'(w) &= 0 \quad (X)
\end{aligned}$$

for $(l, m) \neq (0, 0)$, and;

$$a_{00}^2(w) = 0$$

$$(a_{00}^2)'(w) = 0$$

$$(a_{00}^r)' = -\frac{2}{w}a_{00}^r(w) + \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{w} - \frac{w\omega}{c^2}\right)a_{00}^1(w)$$

$$-\frac{a_{00}^r(w)}{w} + \frac{a_{00}^1(w)}{w} + (a_{00}^1)'(w) = 0 \quad (Y)$$

In the 2-dimensional family of solutions, we can set;

$$a_{lm}^1(w) = (a_{lm}^1)'(w) = 0$$

for all (l, m) . Then, for (l, m) , by $(X), (Y)$;

$$a_{lm}^r(w) = (a_{lm}^r)'(w) = a_{lm}^1(w) = (a_{lm}^1)'(w)$$

$$= a_{lm}^2(w) = (a_{lm}^2)'(w) = 0$$

and, by Peano's existence and uniqueness theorem, using the conditions $(iii), (iv), (v)$ in Lemma 0.5;

$$a_{lm}^r(r) = (a_{lm}^r)'(r) = a_{lm}^1(r) = (a_{lm}^1)'(r)$$

$$= a_{lm}^2(r) = (a_{lm}^2)'(r) = 0$$

for $r \geq w$. By the relation $(ii), (P2)$ in Lemma 0.5, we obtain that $v_{lm}(r) = 0$, for $r \geq w$ as well, so that we obtain the trivial solution.

□

Lemma 0.9. *If (\bar{E}, \bar{B}) are fields of the form $e(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ and $b(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ satisfying Maxwell's equations in free space, in the region $B(\bar{0}, w)^c$, then there exists potentials V and \bar{A} of the form $v(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$ and $\bar{a}(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}$, with the properties that;*

$$\nabla^2(V) + \frac{\partial(\nabla \cdot \bar{A})}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$(\nabla^2(\bar{A}) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{A}}{\partial t^2}) - \nabla(\nabla \cdot \bar{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V'}{\partial t}) = \bar{0} \quad (C)$$

or equivalently;

$$\nabla^2(v) - i\omega \nabla \cdot \bar{a} = 0$$

$$\nabla^2(\bar{a}) + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\bar{a} - \nabla(\nabla \cdot \bar{a} - \frac{i\omega}{c^2}v) = \bar{0}$$

such that;

$$\bar{E} = -\nabla(V) - \frac{\partial \bar{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla(V) + i\omega \bar{A}$$

$$\bar{B} = \nabla \times \bar{A} \quad (D)$$

Conversely, if we have potentials (V, \bar{A}) satisfying (C) and we define the fields (\bar{E}, \bar{B}) by (D), then (\bar{E}, \bar{B}) satisfy Maxwell's equations in free space on $B(\bar{0}, w)^c$.

Given boundary conditions $\{\bar{f}, \bar{g}\}$ on $\delta S(\bar{0}, w)$, if;

$$-\nabla(v) + i\omega \bar{a}|_{\delta S(\bar{0}, w)} = \bar{f}$$

$$\nabla \times \bar{a}|_{\delta S(\bar{0}, w)} = \bar{g}$$

then the corresponding fields $\{\bar{E}, \bar{B}\}$ are continuous with fields $\{\bar{f}e^{-i\omega t}, \bar{g}e^{-i\omega t}\}$ on $B(\bar{0}, w)$. These boundary conditions cannot be satisfied for $\{v, \bar{a}\}$ with the above property, for the configuration from Lemma 0.8, unless $\bar{J}|_{\delta S(\bar{0}, w)} = \bar{0}$.

Proof. The first claim is just the first part of Lemma 0.6, the converse claim just amounts to checking the steps are reversible in the proof of [6].

Again, we can write v in the form;

$$v(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l (v_{lm}(r) Y_{lm}(r, \theta, \phi))$$

where the $\{Y_{lm} : l \geq 0, -l \leq m \leq l\}$ are the spherical harmonics. Then;

$$\nabla^2(v) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dv_{lm}}{dr} \right) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} v_{lm} \right) Y_{lm}$$

Similarly, we write \bar{a} again in the form;

$$\bar{a}(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l (a_{lm}^r(r) \bar{Y}_{lm}(r, \theta, \phi) + a_{lm}^1(r) \bar{\Psi}_{lm}(r, \theta, \phi) + a_{lm}^2(r) \bar{\Phi}_{lm}(r, \theta, \phi))$$

where $\{\bar{Y}_{lm}, \bar{\Psi}_{lm}, \bar{\Phi}_{lm}\}$ are vector spherical harmonics, see [2].

Then;

$$\nabla \cdot \bar{a} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(\frac{da_{lm}^r}{dr} + \frac{2}{r} a_{lm}^r - \frac{l(l+1)}{r} a_{lm}^1 \right) Y_{lm}$$

so that equating coefficients, the condition;

$$\nabla^2(v) - i\omega \nabla \cdot \bar{a} = 0$$

becomes;

$$(i). \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dv_{lm}}{dr} \right) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} v_{lm} - i\omega \left(\frac{da_{lm}^r}{dr} + \frac{2}{r} a_{lm}^r - \frac{l(l+1)}{r} a_{lm}^1 \right) = 0$$

or equivalently;

$$(i). (v_{lm})'' + \frac{2}{r} (v_{lm})' - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} v_{lm} - i\omega (a_{lm}^r)' - \frac{2i\omega}{r} a_{lm}^r + \frac{i\omega l(l+1)}{r} a_{lm}^1 = 0$$

We have that;

$$\nabla(v) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \frac{dv_{lm}}{dr} \bar{Y}_{lm} + \frac{v_{lm}}{r} \bar{\Psi}_{lm}$$

and by the proof of Lemma 0.4;

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \nabla \times \bar{a} &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left[-l(l+1) \left(\frac{1}{r} (a_{lm}^1)' + \frac{1}{r^2} a_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r^2} a_{lm}^r \right) \right] \bar{Y}_{lm} \\ &+ \left[-(a_{lm}^1)'' + \frac{1}{r} (a_{lm}^r)' + \frac{2}{r^2} a_{lm}^1 - \frac{2}{r^2} a_{lm}^r \right] \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \\ &+ \left[-(a_{lm}^2)'' - \frac{2}{r} (a_{lm}^2)' + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} a_{lm}^2 \right] \bar{\Phi}_{lm} \end{aligned}$$

so that, equating coefficients again, the condition;

$$\nabla^2(\bar{a}) + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \bar{a} - \nabla(\nabla \cdot \bar{a} - \frac{i\omega}{c^2} v) = \bar{0}$$

or equivalently;

$$- \nabla \times \nabla \times \bar{a} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \bar{a} + \frac{i\omega}{c^2} \nabla(v) = \bar{0}$$

becomes;

$$(ii). -[-l(l+1) \left(\frac{1}{r} (a_{lm}^1)' + \frac{1}{r^2} a_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r^2} a_{lm}^r \right)] + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} a_{lm}^r + \frac{i\omega}{c^2} (v_{lm})' = 0$$

$$(iii). -[-(a_{lm}^1)'' + \frac{1}{r} (a_{lm}^r)' + \frac{2}{r^2} a_{lm}^1 - \frac{2}{r^2} a_{lm}^r] + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} a_{lm}^1 + \frac{i\omega}{c^2} \frac{v_{lm}}{r} = 0$$

$$(iv). \quad -[-(a_{lm}^2)'' - \frac{2}{r}(a_{lm}^2)' + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}a_{lm}^2] + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}a_{lm}^2 = 0$$

or equivalently;

$$(ii). \quad \frac{l(l+1)}{r}(a_{lm}^1)' + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}a_{lm}^1 + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2})a_{lm}^r + \frac{i\omega}{c^2}(v_{lm})' = 0$$

$$(iii). \quad (a_{lm}^1)'' - \frac{1}{r}(a_{lm}^r)' + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2}{r^2})a_{lm}^1 + \frac{2}{r^2}a_{lm}^r + \frac{i\omega}{c^2}\frac{v_{lm}}{r} = 0$$

$$(iv). \quad (a_{lm}^2)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{lm}^2)' + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2})a_{lm}^2 = 0$$

For $l = 0$, we obtain that;

$$(i)(0) \quad (v_{00})'' + \frac{2}{r}(v_{00})' - i\omega(a_{00}^r)' - \frac{2i\omega}{r}a_{00}^r = 0$$

$$(ii)(0) \quad \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}a_{00}^r + \frac{i\omega}{c^2}(v_{00})' = 0$$

$$(iii)(0) \quad (a_{00}^1)'' - \frac{1}{r}(a_{00}^r)' + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2}{r^2})a_{00}^1 + \frac{2}{r^2}a_{00}^r + \frac{i\omega}{c^2}\frac{v_{00}}{r} = 0$$

$$(iv)(0) \quad (a_{00}^2)'' + \frac{2}{r}(a_{00}^2)' + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}a_{00}^2 = 0$$

and from $(ii)(0)$, we obtain that;

$$a_{00}^r = -\frac{i}{\omega}(v_{00})'$$

and, differentiating;

$$(a_{00}^r)' = -\frac{i}{\omega}(v_{00})'' \quad (A)$$

Substituting (A) into $(i)(0)$, we see this equation is automatically satisfied, and substituting (A) into (iii) , we obtain;

$$(a_{00}^1)'' + \frac{i}{r\omega}(v_{00})'' + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2}{r^2})a_{00}^1 - \frac{2i}{r^2\omega}(v_{00})' + \frac{i\omega}{c^2r}v_{00} = 0$$

which rearranging, gives;

$$(a_{00}^1)'' + (\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{2}{r^2})a_{00}^1 = -\frac{i}{r\omega}(v_{00})'' + \frac{2i}{r^2\omega}(v_{00})' - \frac{i\omega}{c^2r}v_{00} \quad (B)$$

Given a smooth choice of v_{00} , (A) has a unique solution for a_{00}^r , and, by Peano's theorem, (B) has a unique solution for a_{00}^1 , given a choice of $a_{00}^1(w)$, $(a_{00}^1)'(w)$. Similarly, (iv) has a unique solution for a_{00}^2 , given

a choice of $a_{00}^2(w), (a_{00}^2)'(w)$.

We have that;

$$\begin{aligned}
-\nabla(v) &= -\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \frac{dv_{lm}}{dr} \bar{Y}_{lm} + \frac{v_{lm}}{r} \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \\
i\omega \bar{a} &= i\omega \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l (a_{lm}^r \bar{Y}_{lm} + a_{lm}^1 \bar{\Psi}_{lm} + a_{lm}^2 \bar{\Phi}_{lm}) \\
\nabla \times \bar{a} &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l (\nabla \times (a_{lm}^r \bar{Y}_{lm}) + \nabla \times (a_{lm}^1 \bar{\Psi}_{lm}) + \nabla \times (a_{lm}^2 \bar{\Phi}_{lm})) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(-\frac{1}{r} a_{lm}^r \bar{\Phi}_{lm} + \left(\frac{da_{lm}^1}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} a_{lm}^1 \right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm} + \left(-\frac{l(l+1)}{r} \right) a_{lm}^2 \bar{Y}_{lm} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(\frac{da_{lm}^2}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} a_{lm}^2 \right) \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \right) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left(-\frac{l(l+1)}{r} \right) a_{lm}^2 \bar{Y}_{lm} - \left(\frac{da_{lm}^2}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} a_{lm}^2 \right) \bar{\Psi}_{lm} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{da_{lm}^1}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} a_{lm}^1 - \frac{1}{r} a_{lm}^r \right) \bar{\Phi}_{lm}
\end{aligned}$$

so the boundary conditions become;

$$\begin{aligned}
(a). \quad & -\frac{dv_{lm}}{dr}(w) + i\omega a_{lm}^r(w) = \bar{f}_{lm}^r(w) \\
(b). \quad & -\frac{v_{lm}(w)}{w} + i\omega a_{lm}^1(w) = \bar{f}_{lm}^1(w) \\
(c). \quad & i\omega a_{lm}^2(w) = \bar{f}_{lm}^2(w) \\
(d). \quad & \left(-\frac{l(l+1)}{w} \right) a_{lm}^2(w) = \bar{g}_{lm}^r(w) \\
(e). \quad & -\left(\frac{da_{lm}^2}{dr}(w) + \frac{1}{w} a_{lm}^2(w) \right) = \bar{g}_{lm}^1(w) \\
(f). \quad & \left(\frac{da_{lm}^1}{dr}(w) + \frac{1}{w} a_{lm}^1(w) - \frac{1}{w} a_{lm}^r(w) \right) = \bar{g}_{lm}^2(w)
\end{aligned}$$

and for $l = 0, m = 0$, using the result of Lemma 0.8, we obtain;

$$\begin{aligned}
(a). \quad & -\frac{dv_{00}}{dr}(w) + i\omega a_{00}^r(w) = \bar{f}_{00}^r(w) \\
(b). \quad & -\frac{v_{00}(w)}{w} + i\omega a_{00}^1(w) = 0 \\
(c). \quad & i\omega a_{00}^2(w) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$(d). 0 = 0$$

$$(e). -\left(\frac{da_{00}^2}{dr}(w) + \frac{1}{w}a_{00}^2(w)\right) = 0$$

$$(f). \left(\frac{da_{00}^1}{dr}(w) + \frac{1}{w}a_{00}^1(w) - \frac{1}{w}a_{00}^r(w)\right) = 0$$

$$\text{where } \bar{f}_{lm}^r(w) = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{i\omega\epsilon_0}\alpha dj_1\left(\frac{\omega w}{c}\right)e^{-i\omega t}$$

From (A), we see that the boundary condition (a) cannot be satisfied unless $j_1\left(\frac{\omega w}{c}\right) = 0$, in which case $\bar{J}|_{\delta S(\bar{0}, w)} = \bar{0}$.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Conservation of Charge at an Interface, H. Arnoldus, Optics Communications, Elsevier, (2006).
- [2] Vector spherical harmonics and their application to magnetostatics, Barrera, R G; Estevez, G A; Giraldo, J, European Journal of Physics. IOP Publishing. 6 (4): 287294, (1985).
- [3] Elementary Differential Equations and Boundary Value Problems, Seventh Editions, W. Boyce, R. DiPrima, Wiley, (2001).
- [4] Fourier Transforms, Bochner, Princeton UP, (1949).
- [5] Electromagnetism, N. Frank and J. Slater, Dover Publications, (1947).
- [6] Introduction to Electrodynamics, Third Edition, D. Griffiths, Pearson, (2008).
- [7] Electrical Engineering, Principles and Applications, Seventh Edition, A. Hambley, Pearson, (2019).
- [8] Engineering Circuit Analysis, Third Edition, W. Hayt, J. Kemmerly, McGraw-Hill, (1978).
- [9] Quantum Mechanics, Volume 1, A. Messiah, North-Holland Publishing Company, (1972).
- [10] Microwave Engineering, Tristram de Piro, available at <http://www.curvalinea.net/papers> (2023)
- [11] Some Arguments for the Wave Equation in Quantum Theory, Tristram de Piro, Open Journal of Mathematical Sciences, available at <http://www.curvalinea.net> (58), (2021)

- [12] Some Results in Biochemistry and Biophysics, Tristram de Piro, available at <http://www.curvalinea.net> (71), (2023)
- [13] Microwave Engineering, Theory and Techniques, Fourth Edition, D. Pozar, Wiley, (2021).
- [14] Associated Legendre Polynomials, Wikipedia, see also Associated Legendre Functions, online notes, available on request.

FLAT 3, REDESDALE HOUSE, 85 THE PARK, CHELTENHAM, GL50 2RP
E-mail address: `t.depiro@curvalinea.net`